

THIRD *Zionist* WAR



By
Geo. W. Armstrong

THIRD ZIONIST WAR

By

GEO. W. ARMSTRONG

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
Petition	5
1. Third Zionist War.....	7
2. The President's Message of July 19, 1950.....	10
3. United Nations a Sham and a Fraud.....	17
4. The First Trap.....	22
5. Douglas MacArthur, Soldier and Patriot.....	24
6. Politics	28
7. Sabotage	33
8. Veto of Internal Security Act.....	39
9. The Second Trap.....	42
10. Dictatorship	47
11. Zionists	53
12. Isolationism	66

TO:
THE CONGRESS OF THE
UNITED STATES

The People of the
UNITED STATES
vs.
HARRY S. TRUMAN, ET AL.

PETITION

Now comes Geo. W. Armstrong, and respectfully represents the following:

1. That President Harry S. Truman, Secretary of State Dean Acheson, and Justice of the Supreme Court Felix Frankfurter are traitors and should be impeached and prosecuted;

2. That the members of the firms of J. P. Morgan & Co., Kuhn, Loeb & Co., and Lehman Bros. Co., and the members of the B'nai B'rith, Anti-Defamation League, Anti-Nazi League and American Jewish Committee are traitors and should be prosecuted as such;

3. That the Zionists are aliens and are citizens of the State of Israeli and that they are communists and should be banished;

4. That the purpose of said traitors and Zionists is to destroy our system of government and create a Zionist super state; that in pursuance of said purpose they fraudulently established the United Nations and created the Atlantic Pact, and caused our government to intervene in the Korean war.

WHEREFORE, I pray you to impeach and prosecute the traitors and to cancel our membership in the United Nations and the Atlantic Pact.

I respectfully submit the following brief and argument in support of these allegations.

GEO. W. ARMSTRONG

March 1, 1951

BRIEF AND ARGUMENT

1.

THIRD ZIONIST WAR

Our present war with Russia and China is obviously our Third Zionist War. All three of them were for the same purpose, viz: to establish a Zionist World Empire. All of them were initiated by a shocking disaster to arouse us to war: the first one by the sinking of the passenger ship Lusitania; the second by the destruction of our Pacific fleet at Pearl Harbor; and the third by the Korean attack. The first resulted in the League of Nations, the second in the United Nations, and the third is obviously intended to convert it into a Zionist World Empire for the *pretended* purpose of preserving peace.

THE ZIONISTS OF NEW YORK AND MOSCOW INSTIGATED THE WAR

The North Koreans as well as the South Koreans are victims of the machinations of the Zionist communists. It is unfortunate that the Koreans must suffer. They have been betrayed into this fratricidal war by the Zionist communists of New York and Moscow. We should strike boldly to destroy the head of the snake and not its tail. *Bomb Moscow* with the atomic bomb and not the Chinese or the Koreans, and hang the New York and Washington Zionist traitors. There will never be peace on earth until Zionism is destroyed. Its mission is to destroy Christianity and to destroy and enslave Christians.

WHY THIS WAR?

The Zionists pretended that the Korean war was to establish free elections and a democratic government in Korea. They represented that the North Koreans are communists and the South Koreans are democrats. That is all we know about them. The North Koreans are savages and the South Koreans may be also. The North Koreans murder their prisoners and the South Koreans murder non-combatants, according to press reports. They fight to the death rather than surrender. *It is at best a brutal savage war with savages, for the alleged purpose of compelling them to establish a democratic government. It is in fact a Zionist war for the purpose of establishing a Zionist Empire.*

The armies of communist China joined the North Koreans and at this time (March 1, 1951) they are reported to be losing and retreating. Our casualties have been heavy and we appear to be in for a long destructive war. It may be a life and death struggle between Christians and communists, and between freedom and slavery.

While the President called our intervention "police action," his message to Congress and his press interviews and his conduct reveal it as *war*. It was necessary to color it as "police action" to give it the semblance of legality, for the President under our Constitution has no power to declare war; that is the exclusive province of Congress. It is in fact war, and a war initiated by the President and his advisers, Dean Acheson, Felix Frankfurter, David Niles, and other Zionist traitors.

We are a gullible, charitable, unsuspecting Christian people, and are easily deceived. We excuse Roosevelt because he was in poor health, and Truman because of his early training as a Pendergast ward heeler and because of his ignorance. But they both had sense enough to select their associates, and they both selected Hiss, Niles, Acheson, et al.

CHINESE NATIONALISTS

The Associated Press of Nov. 30, 1950 reported General Claire Chennault, retired, formerly of the Flying Tigers, as saying that the Chinese Nationalists are good soldiers and will fight with and for us; and that only 1% of the 450 million Chinese are communists. That is less than the percentage of traitors in our own country. He said also that Chiang Kai-shek is a patriot of great integrity.

Chiang Kai-shek offered to send 33,000 trained soldiers to Korea, which is more than all of the other 52 nations offered or contributed. Truman and Acheson not only rejected the offer but stationed a part of our Navy in the strait between China's mainland and Formosa on condition that the nationalists stay out of the war. In spite of it, the nationalists appear to be waging a successful guerilla war.

Truman and Acheson evidently plan to deliver Formosa and the Chinese nationalists to the communists through the United Nations or a four- or seven-power treaty. The British government is a socialist, pro-Zionist government dominated by the Rothschild money trust, as is our own. It recognized communist China for the alleged purpose of protecting its interests in Hong Kong and Malaya. It would, no doubt, vote to deliver Formosa and the nationalists to the bolsheviks. France is at best an uncertain and unreliable member of the United Nations and the Atlantic Pact.

It is reported that the French have changed their government sixteen times since 1944. The government of France is now en-

gaged in a losing war with the communists of Indonesia and want and need our help. The French and British governments are so indifferent to their own security as to oppose the re-armament of Germany, which is necessary for their protection. Both the French and British will agree to surrender Formosa to the communists if by doing so they can promote their commercial interests. They are not interested in protecting Formosa for the protection of the Chinese Nationalists or for our protection; nor are President Truman and Dean Acheson and Secretary of Defense George C. Marshall and the Zionists interested in doing so. They want to change our system of government and to establish a "welfare state."

Be it remembered that the Nationalist Government is in Formosa because of the treachery of our State Department. We promised to furnish them munitions and supplies for waging their war against the communists on the mainland. They were compelled to go to Formosa because our State Department failed to carry out its agreement at a critical period of the war. It was cold-blooded treachery for the purpose of communizing China, for which no one has yet been punished.

It is the duty of the 82nd Congress to establish an impartial tribunal of competent men to ascertain the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth about the criminals who initiated the second world war and also the Korean war. They should be empowered to investigate the Zionists and their organizations, viz: the B'nai B'rith, Anti-Defamation League and Anti-Nazi League, et al. If they are found to be Communistic, their members should be banished.

2.

THE PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE OF JULY 19, 1950

President Truman sent a message to Congress on July 19, 1950, requesting authority to mobilize the resources of the United States in support of the United Nations. In his message he said 52 of the 59 nations had pledged their support. He described our support of the South Koreans as "*police action*" to enforce the orders of the United Nations. On the request of President Truman the United Nations appointed General Douglas MacArthur commander of the United Nations Army. It is estimated that we supplied 150,000 combat troops, South Korea 100,000, all other countries 24,300; and that to date we have had about 50,000 casualties. This is more than twice the number of troops furnished by the other 52 nations of the United Nations that signed the resolution on Korea.* It is estimated that we have furnished 95% of the supplies for the army. Less than a dozen nations have supplied anything.

The President, in his message to Congress of July 19th, said:

"Already aircraft of two nations: Australia and Great Britain, and naval vessels of five nations: Australia, Canada, Great Britain, the Netherlands and New Zealand, *have been available, for operations in the Korean area.*"

He also said:

"This outright breach of the peace in violation of the United Nations Charter created a real and present danger to the security of every nation; . . . it was a clear challenge to the basic principles of the United Nations."

He also said:

"The speed, the scale and the co-ordination of the attack left no doubt that it had been plotted long in advance."

He said that the United States was "going to the aid of the nation (Korea) established and supported by the United Nations and unjustifiably attacked by an aggressor force."

*Congressional Record Jan. 12, 1951, page 200.

He said further:

"For our part we shall continue to support the United Nations action to restore peace in the Korean area . . . We are determined to support the United Nations in its effort to restore peace and security . . . We have also taken action to bolster the military defenses of individual free nations, such as Greece, Turkey and Iran."

The President also said in this message:

"I will transmit to Congress specific requests for appropriations in the amount of approximately ten billion dollars."

He asked Congress to enact legislation authorizing the government "to establish priorities and allocate such materials as necessary to promote the national security and the increase of taxes, as our basic weapon in offsetting the inflationary pressure exerted by enlarged government expenditures, and to control credit." He said further that "if a sharp rise in prices should make it necessary, I shall not hesitate to recommend the more drastic measures of price control and rationing."*

These are the powers of a dictator which the President proposes to invoke to enforce the "police action" of the United Nations. If granted they will not end soon. Certainly not until the "police action" is ended. He obviously aspires to be our dictator.

The President's message was received with acclaim by Congress and the press. They approved the defiance of Russia and the *apparent* repudiation of the Asiatic program of the Secretary of State and the appointment of General MacArthur as Commander-in-Chief.

The President's message plainly implied that the Korean invasion has been and is being prosecuted and directed by the Kremlin. If so, it means a long and costly war. It may mean the death of millions of men and the probable destruction of our government.

"POLICE ACTION"

Less than 25,000 combat troops of other nations have been used and only a limited number promised, except by the Nationalists of China, which offer was rejected. Only a limited number of small naval vessels and a limited quantity of supplies have been furnished or promised. This alleged "police action" has demonstrated the impotence of the United Nations as a peace agency and the hypocrisy of the Marshall Plan. We have given the people and governments of Europe and Asia more than fifty billion dollars to restore their economy. They are all interested in protecting themselves but they have put the heavy burden of the alleged "police action" on us.

*Congressional Record, 81st Congress, page 10781-5.

The contribution of the 52 nations is mainly limited to an expression of good will. The government of France says they are engaged in Indonesia, and the government of Israeli that she is busy at home with the Arabs. The governments of the world evidently do not accept our intervention as "police action" to enforce the orders of the United Nations. They regard it as our war with the communists and they prefer to remain neutral. They may suspect that it is another Zionist war for a super government (which in fact it is). We have practically nothing to show for the billions we have spent through the Marshall Plan, the UNRRA, and in support of the United Nations, except a few pious expressions of good will and the promises of limited assistance at some indefinite future date.

If it was in fact mere "police action" to enforce the orders of the United Nations, then we are obviously the world's policemen. The President and not Congress, has assumed for us the obligation of enforcing the peace of the world. The President had no authority to do so; it was exclusively a subject for congressional action and Congress was then in session. It has already involved us in about 50,000 casualties to our young men and an estimated 18 billion dollars. It has involved us in war with Russia and China, a temporary suspension of constitutional government, and a threatened dictatorship which may become permanent.

IT IS IN FACT A THIRD WAR TO PROMOTE A ZIONIST EMPIRE

There are many circumstances that support this conclusion:

(1) The President's message to Congress which was written by his Zionist advisers, is a strong circumstance. He has requested more power than Roosevelt enjoyed in the last war. He seeks to complete the bankruptcy of America through deflation, debt and taxation. Korea is connected with Russia and China by land and by two railroads,—one to Mukden and the other to Vladivostok, and is separated from us by about 6,000 miles of ocean and was probably selected for our defeat.

(2) Our government has known for more than a year that this attack would be made. Rear Admiral Roscoe Hickenloetter, until recently chief of our central intelligence agency, testified before the House Armed Services Committee that Russia and North Korea made a secret agreement in May 1949 under which fifty Russian military officers were sent to the North Korean capitol. This number was later increased to one hundred. The duties of these military officers was to plan strategy for the coming invasion and it was agreed that Russia would provide North Korea with ammunition, artillery, aircraft, tanks, trucks and other war equipment.

This was reported by the Intelligence Agency to the proper officials fifteen months before the attack. Truman and Acheson were warned until two days before the invasion, that the attack would come any day. They were not surprised but they were totally unprepared.* Admiral Hickenloetter was made the "scape goat," and has been discharged.

Congress appropriated \$112,000,000 for the defense of Korea but only \$200,000 was used for South Korea, the balance being used "directly and indirectly" for North Korea.**

The State Department's representative, Owen Latimore, described by Senator McCarthy as "the architect of our Far Eastern policy," said on January 15, 1950 that "the problem in Korea is to allow Korea to fall but not appear that we pushed her.***

Our State Department had known for more than a year that the communists have been training and arming the North Koreans for war, but it disarmed the South Koreans and withdrew our troops and support.

(3) One of the most potent circumstances is the fact that Dean Acheson is still Secretary of State with the power of handicapping General MacArthur. If the President actually repudiated Acheson's administration of our Asiatic program, as he apparently did, Acheson would have indignantly resigned or the President would have demanded his resignation.

(4) The appointment of the pro-Zionist George C. Marshall as Secretary of Defense and his appointment of the Zionist Jewess Anna Rosenberg and Jew Max Leva as his assistants reveal Truman's sympathies and character. They have the power to defeat General MacArthur.

(5) War is necessary to preserve the socialist United Nations and to mobilize our manpower and resources and to establish a dictatorship and to silence critics, all of which the Zionists desire. The endorsements of Henry Morgenthau, the head of the American Zionists, and of the Zionist Bernard Baruch, chief high priest of the Zionist Sanhedrin, are significant.

(6) The Zionists planned this Zionist war through the traitors Roosevelt, Marshall and Hiss at Yalta, and through Truman and Hiss at Potsdam. It was disguised by the traitors Roosevelt and Hiss and Stettinius in the creation of the socialist United Nations at San Francisco.

WAS OUR DEFEAT PLANNED BY TRAITORS?

The foregoing circumstances indicate that our defeat in Korea was planned. The Zionist "saint," F. D. Roosevelt, was authority for the axiom that "things don't just happen, they are

*Congressional Record, 81st Congress, page 9373.

**Congressional Record, 81st Congress, July 24, 1950, page 11001.

***Congressional Record, 81st Congress, page 9862-3.

planned that way." Have the Zionists planned our defeat? They armed North Korea and disarmed South Korea. They knew that an attack would be made and selected the weakest point for it. Evidently the Zionists of America are co-operating with the Zionists of Russia.

They will profit whether we win or lose. If we lose, we will have lost our government and our liberty and they will have gained their communistic United Nations and World Empire, and will acquire undisputed title to the enormous mineral wealth of Palestine, Iran, and other countries.

If it was in fact "*planned that way*" the scheme originated immediately following World War II. We then had billions of dollars of war equipment and material. The Zionists caused the greater part of it to be destroyed. The Yalta and Potsdam agreements and the United Nations were all in pursuance of it.

UNDECLARED WAR

The communists of Russia and China are waging an undeclared war on us and not on the United Nations or Britain or France, and we can't rely on them to win it for us. The Reds have defiantly and insultingly declared war on the United States through their special envoy Wu. He said that we must get out of Korea or be driven out. Our *alleged* allies, the British and the French, through their representative the British Prime Minister Attlee, sought to influence us to surrender Korea and Formosa and Asia to the communists. The British have already recognized the communist Chinese government of Mao and are supporting him with munitions and supplies, some of which came from us through the Marshall Plan, and are now being used against us.

Senator Eastland said of this appeasement:

"It would check off the vast resources of Asia to communism, and would tremendously weaken the United States throughout the world. In fact, if we lose Asia with its vast manpower and resources, the foundation is laid for Communist control of the world. The truth is that if the Communists are permitted to absorb and digest Asia, their conquest of Europe will be simple. The control of Asia will place them 75 percent of the distance on the road to world control for communism. I note that the British and French desire, among other things, to take Communist China into the United Nations, and want us to sacrifice Formosa.

"Mr. President, to take Communist China into the United Nations would be like placing a rattlesnake in a playpen with little children, and would raise the crime of blackmail to one of the cardinal virtues of mankind. Communist China, in

conjunction with the Soviet Union, is bent upon world conquest. We must recognize this fact and act before she becomes any stronger.”*

Both the British and French have coalition socialist-communist governments. The British Secretary of Defense Emanuel Shinwell and Minister of Labor and Supplies Aneurin Bevan are avowed communists. France's Secretary of Defense is the communist Louis Kahn; the French have taken no part in the Korean campaign; they are themselves waging a losing phony war with the communists in Indonesia. Neither of them is a loyal or sympathetic ally. We do not need them for our defense and we cannot rely on them for help.

The French government is an off and on proposition. At the moment it is a socialist communist coalition government; it has changed sixteen times in the past six years. The British government is controlled by the Rothschilds, who finance the labor party, and it is also a socialist communist coalition government.

We are able to protect ourselves and incidentally Christianity and the greater part of the world without the help of the British and French or the United Nations; nor do we need the “hydrogen bomb,” which is only a figment of the imagination and may be a Zionist scheme to divert our resources and weaken us. What is the use of having a bomb if we do not use it? And how many years will be required to develop this visionary destructive bomb? It is by no means certain that Russia has the A bomb.** We have only President Truman's and Senator McMahon's word for it, and they are both pro-Zionist and are not reliable witnesses. It may be only a bugaboo to scare us into accepting their plans.

COMMUNISM OR FREEDOM

Senator Eastland said that we must support the nationalist army of Chiang Kai-shek, which was betrayed by the traitors Roosevelt, Acheson and Truman; and we must arm the Japanese. Chiang recently stated that he had 750,000 trained troops in Formosa and that he could raise about 2,000,000 more on the mainland of China. The traitors hogtied him by not furnishing him with munitions and supplies which they had promised, otherwise he would have won the war with the communists. They also defeated MacArthur temporarily by enabling Mao to divert his troops from Formosa and China to Manchuria and Korea.

Senator Eastland also said in this speech of December 5, 1950:

“Our whole military strategy in the Orient has to be revised, and the time to do it is now, without waiting for 62 different nations to submit 62 different interpretations of a

*Congressional Record, December 5, 1950, page 16264.

**Congressional Record, December 8, 1950, page 16565-6.

new Munich by which to guide us. The American people must understand that with America's future hanging in the balance, with American boys pouring out their lives, with the American people financing with their earnings and savings the struggle to stop the spread of communism in Europe, the time has come for the American Government to stand on its own feet with a realization that it is our destiny with which we gamble . . .

"We must immediately clamp an iron blockade upon the China coast and seal her ports to all commerce with anyone. American air power must attack and destroy Chinese industrial potential and China's transportation system. In fact, she has no highways, but depends upon a thin spread of rail lines to supply her industries and feed and clothe her people. This transportation system can be destroyed by American air power from bases which we now possess. These two things, Mr. President, will do more damage than a great armed invasion of the China land mass. In addition, we must aid Chiang Kai-shek and assist him in returning to the mainland and destroying the communists. We must aid and help the million anti-communist guerrillas now in China and thus create havoc and confusion there."*

Senator Eastland points out the only way that we can win the war with Russia and China. We cannot win it by diverting our resources to Europe. The Europeans can protect themselves with Germany's aid and a capable commander and capable governments without our help, but they cannot win it without Germany and we should not extend help unless Germany is included.

Senator Eastland's view is supported by General Claire Chennault, retired, former commander in China of the "Flying Tigers." He is reported by the Associated Press of November 29, 1950, to have said that he is well acquainted with Chiang Kai-shek and that he is a courageous, incorruptible, patriot who earnestly seeks to protect his country from communism, which is more than we can say of our government. It is also supported by former President Hoover.

It is obvious that if Chiang attacks Mao from Formosa that his army will soon be moved from Manchuria and that MacArthur can then occupy both Korea and Manchuria. It is the sure route to victory. It is our war and we are in it up to our necks and we must win it.

*Congressional Record, December 5, 1950, page 16265.

3.

UNITED NATIONS A SHAM AND A FRAUD

The United Nations is a sham and a fraud, designed and promoted by traitors and scalawags for the purpose of destroying our government and merging it into a Zionist World Empire and dictatorship. The annual cost of the United Nations is estimated at \$100,000,000.00. The United States is assessed 40% of it, Britain 11%, Russia only 7%, and the remaining 44% is divided among all of the other nations. We are underwriting the outfit and probably paying the greater part of its cost.

We have financed the Korean War and supplied about 150,000 troops, the other countries of the U. N. an estimated 24,300. We have suffered about 50,000 casualties and they are increasing daily. There are no reported losses for any other country except about 200 to Great Britain. Our casualty and financial cost for this alleged "police action" are more than the casualty list and cost of our first three wars, viz: the American Revolution, the British War of 1812, and the War with Spain; the total casualties of these three wars are estimated at 28,000.

The United Nations was conceived by the traitor Roosevelt and his Zionist assistants and written by the traitors Pasvolsky, Hiss, and other Zionists of the State Department. It is "a snare and a delusion," and a very costly one. There is nothing to be gained by our continued membership in it, and everything to be lost. We can protect the Americas without the help of our alleged allies and they can protect themselves without our help. We must return to our Constitution and our time-tested foreign policy of isolationism and the Monroe doctrine.

The Zionists seek to undermine and destroy our Constitution under Article VI of the Constitution which provides that "all treaties made under the authority of the United States shall be the supreme law of the land." The United Nations Charter (Chapter IX, Article 55) provides that "the United Nations shall promote . . . universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, language or religion." Article 55 has been expressly adopted by the United Nations General Assembly. The District Court of Appeals in California held that the adoption of this

declaration of "human rights" by the United Nations Assembly superseded our Bill of Rights and transferred jurisdiction over these subjects to the United Nations! *Fugii vs. State*, 217 Pacific 2nd, page 481.

The Zionists have submitted to the states and to Congress the "Genocide Convention;" and to Congress also treaties on the subjects of labor, known as I.L.O., and the tariff, known as I.T.O., which if adopted will, under the decision mentioned, transfer jurisdiction on these subjects from Congress to the United Nations, and from our courts to the United Nations Courts.

GENOCIDE CONVENTION

The "Genocide Convention" (or treaty) is particularly obnoxious for it makes anti-Zionism a felony, punishable in foreign courts. Under the terms of this convention I would, if charged with anti-Semitism, be subject to prosecution in a foreign country and in a foreign court, despite the fact that I have carefully sought to distinguish the Zionist communist Jew from the Christian Jew, who believes in our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ, and our system of government. The Genocide Convention will become the supreme law of the land if adopted by 36 states. It was adopted by 22 states before its real purpose was known, and seven of them have since withdrawn. The campaign was promoted and financed by the Zionist James Warburg, of Kuhn, Loeb & Co.

The Zionists sought to suppress criticism of the Jews during the last war by the War Securities Act. The bill actually passed the House of Representatives. They will seek to do so again for that is one of the purposes of the present war. They have had similar laws adopted in several of our cities and states. If they are innocent, why do they object to criticism? No other race or class of people seeks such exemption.

President Truman appointed the socialist Eleanor Roosevelt as one of our delegates to the United Nations and she is at the head of its "Human Rights" branch. Her division declared the following "human rights," viz:

"The right of social security;" "the right to just and favorable conditions of work;" "the right to protection against unemployment;" "the right to rest and leisure;" "the right to food, clothing, housing, and medical care and necessary social services;" "the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood and old age;" and "the right to education."

These rights are, of course, to be enforced through the United Nations. No penalty for disobedience, or method of providing the money are stated, but they will be provided later.

There are three known communists on Mrs. Roosevelt's committee and the balance of them are socialists. Their program is a socialist-communist program and for the purpose of promoting socialism and communism. That is in fact the purpose of the United Nations and not the prevention of war, as pretended. Trygve Lie, its manager, is a Norwegian socialist, and his subordinates are either socialists or communists.

THE CELEBRATION

The President stated the purpose of the United Nations in his speech at Flushing Meadow, November 4, 1950. He said that "our soldiers died in order that the United Nations might live," and that as a result the United Nations is "stronger than ever before." He said also:

"The people of the world look to the United Nations as a source of direct help in their daily lives, to them it is a case of food or a box of school books or a doctor who vaccinates their children. It is an expert who shows them how to raise more rice or wheat. It is the flag which marks a safe haven to the refugee, an extra meal to a nursing mother."

Where does the President find authority for transferring us and our government to such a "glorious" super-government? Where will it get the money to carry out his seductive promises? What is left for our national, state and city governments to do, and why not abolish them? How can the President reconcile his advocacy of such a government with our Constitution and his oath of office? His entire speech adds up to socialism and communism. If the President understood the meaning of his speech, which is doubtful, he is either a socialist or a communist and is unfit to be President of these United States.

The Flushing Meadow meeting was a celebration of the fifth anniversary of the United Nations and the occasion of the "Freedom Bell Crusade" sponsored by President Truman, General Ike Eisenhower and the Zionist Senator Herbert H. Lehman of New York. In preparation for this great hullabaloo our Department of Agriculture sent materials to county agents throughout the country to be made into United Nations flags and distributed through the school children. The "victory" bell was sent to Berlin and a great celebration was reported to have been held there on "United Nations Day," viz: October 24, 1950.

What did we celebrate? And what did the Germans celebrate? We are the freest people of the world and are guaranteed freedom of life, liberty and property by our Constitution, and we are satisfied with it. The Germans had no occasion for a celebration, and Truman, Lehman and Eisenhower would not have sponsored a real German celebration. It was in fact an insult to the Germans.

The excitement appears to have been a celebration of surrendering our system of government to the Zionist United Nations, but it was premature. They have not yet established the "Genocide Convention," their I.L.O. and I.T.O. treaties. When and if they are ratified our pro-Zionist Supreme Court will probably hold that they supplant our National Constitution and then the Zionists will have an occasion to celebrate.

Congressman Wood of Idaho said of the United Nations flag:

"The United Nations flag is not American. It has no heredity back of it except that of crime, treason, lies, hate, suspicion and double-dealing. It has nothing in it which is American, except our money, and it holds little promise of ever being anything but a wilted, blood-stained rag against the glorious history and tradition of our flag of America—our beloved Stars and Stripes."*

"AMBASSADOR" DULLES

President Truman appointed the republican Zionist stooge, John Foster Dulles, as our Ambassador plenipotentiary, and sent him on a mission to General Douglas MacArthur. His firm (Sullivan & Cromwell) are reported to be attorneys for J. P. Morgan & Co., agents for the Zionists firm of N. M. Rothschild & Son. At a conference of the Federal Council of Churches (a communist front) in Delaware, Ohio, Dulles submitted the following resolution, which was adopted "as a requisite principle of peace," to-wit:

"World government, strong immediate limitations on national sovereignty, international control of all armies and navies, world wide freedom of immigration, elimination of tariffs and quota restrictions, and an international bank."**

That was the purpose of the Roosevelt-Stettinius-Hiss United Nations and of the Truman-Acheson-Frankfurter Russian Chinese War. That is the program of J. P. Morgan & Co., Kuhn, Loeb & Co., and of the Rothschild money trust. It is the program of the Zionists and communists. While the Russian representatives often appear to be recalcitrant and antagonistic to the United Nations, they are not so in fact. They are only shadow-boxing for public consumption and to deceive the gullible Christians. There can be no harmony between Christ and anti-Christ, or between Christian and anti-Christian.

"World government" as advocated by President Truman's Ambassador-at-Large Mr. Dulles, and as established by the United Nations, involves the *absorption of our government and its subordination to the United Nations*. There is no need for two governments "controlling our Army and Navy." Their interests

*Congressional Record, Feb. 6, 1951, page 1073.

**Time Magazine, March 16, 1942.

will conflict and in that case the super-government will of course control. Mr. Dulles' "world government" will abolish our immigration laws and protective tariff, and that is practically what the Truman "fair deal" administration has accomplished. Mr. Dulles' world government scheme correctly represents President Truman or he would not have been appointed Ambassador-at-Large to deal with other governments.

4.

THE FIRST TRAP

The victory celebration with its victory bells and United Nations flags was premature. The Chinese hurled an estimated 1,350,000 troops from Manchuria against the United Nations Army and drove them back regardless of their own losses. The Chinese troops were said to have been doped to make them reckless. They surrounded a part of the United Nations Army and cut them off from their base of supplies. General MacArthur was prohibited from attacking the Chinese base of supplies on the alleged ground that such attack might provoke them to war!

The Zionist press of the world, of course, blamed General MacArthur. They were particularly gleeful over the fact that he was said to have expressed the hope that he would be able to send our soldiers home by Christmas (of 1950). The Zionists never intended for General MacArthur to win the war and it will be a miracle for him to do so, handicapped as he has been by Truman, Acheson, Frankfurter and Niles. The loudest critics were the Zionist British Defense Minister Emanuel Shinwell, and the French, whose government has not contributed a soldier or a dime, and the Zionist mouthpiece Drew Pearson.

The Associated Press reported December 2, 1950 that General MacArthur said that "he had received no suggestion from any authoritative source" that his North Korean drive should have been halted some distance short of the Manchurian border. He was asked whether "military operations" were handicapped by orders not to attack Chinese bases. He replied that "the order was an enormous handicap and without precedent in military history." He said that the communist "sanctuary of neutrality behind their lines protected them from air attack and gave them the advantage of moving troops at night in difficult terrain."

He declined to discuss the use of the atomic bomb and expressed the belief that the situation was not hopeless. It was revealed that the order to refrain from attacking the Chinese bases was given by Truman and Acheson and not by the United Nations. It was also revealed that the Chinese assembled an estimated 1,350,000 seasoned troops on the Manchurian border at night during a period of several weeks prior to the attack.

This was unknown to General MacArthur, who had been prohibited from sending planes across the Manchurian border, but it was probably known to Truman and Acheson. In an open letter to President Truman, published by the Associated Press December 2, 1950, Senator Joe McCarthy said: "If this treasonable farce of insisting that only American boys can die while refusing the help of the soldiers of allies continues, then the time is long overdue for the Congress in the name of America to stand up and be counted and immediately impeach you." *Amen! Amen! Amen!*

Senator McCarthy added that it is "beyond conception that your State Department, which either bungled or *planned us into this war* now bars the use of any of Chiang Kai-shek's troops in Korea." No, it is not beyond conception if they *planned us* into the war, for that is precisely what to expect. He said that Chiang had "358,000 well-trained and well-equipped soldiers" that he was ready and willing to contribute. This treacherous Korean attack is consistent with the Zionist and communist system of warfare; and the treachery of Truman and Acheson with that of Roosevelt, Stimson and Marshall. This "treasonable farce" is exactly similar to the Pearl Harbor and Lusitania treasonable farces. A traitor is a loathsome creature that will commit any crime to accomplish his treacherous purposes. He is as a general rule a Zionist and an anti-Christian.

The trap was set by President Truman and Dean Acheson. They stationed warships in the strait between Formosa and China upon the agreement with Chiang Kai-shek that he would not attack the Chinese army on the mainland. Obviously the purpose was to protect the army of Mao, and to enable him to transfer it to Manchuria, which he did. They prohibited General MacArthur from sending planes over Manchuria and required him to stop at the Manchurian border for ten days, to enable Mao secretly to send an estimated 1,350,000 fully equipped soldiers into Korea.

It is difficult for the Christian mind to comprehend the depravity of treachery but it is a part of the religion of the Zionist. It is their method of warfare. They are taught it by their Talmud and Protocols and Rabbis. It explains Truman's peevish, childish letters to the music critic Hume and to Congressman Hebert; they were the outbursts of a guilty conscience and a disordered mind.

5.

DOUGLAS MacARTHUR, SOLDIER AND PATRIOT

The Zionists made the mistake of permitting President Truman to recommend General Douglas MacArthur as commander in chief of the United Nations forces. They did not think that he could win, disarmed as he was, and with them directing the campaign and in control of his supplies. They underestimated him.

He was, of course, the logical man for the job. He was not only on the ground but he was the recognized military genius of the age. They sought to revive the decadent and useless United Nations and they thought they could rally its members and the American people to its support more effectively through him than the pro-Zionists Mark Clark or Ike Eisenhower. Moreover, they wanted to discredit him by defeat.

He said in his short address at Seoul to the people of Korea: "It is through the spirit that we must save the flesh . . . In humble and devout manifestation of gratitude to Almighty God for bringing this decisive victory to us I ask that all present rise and join me in reciting the Lord's Prayer," which they did. We cannot imagine such humility in Mark Clark or Ike Eisenhower or Truman.

What a contrast between this great Christian American patriot and our vain little pro-Zionist President who seeks to be our dictator, cavorting around in his private yacht, "The Williamsburg," and his private plane, "The Enterprise," maintained at public expense. What a contrast between MacArthur and our real rulers the traitors Felix Frankfurter and Dean Acheson.*

Acheson evidently planned that we should lose the Korean War; and such was the scheme of the Zionists. They disarmed the South Koreans and armed the North Koreans. They selected

*Our dictator Truman said that he would not appoint John L. Lewis "dog catcher," to which Mr. Lewis replied that if he did he would have more brains in the dog department than in the State, and that one of his first acts would be to discharge the "pusillanimous pups in the State Department." If we must have a labor dictator Mr. Lewis is preferable to Mr. Truman.

General MacArthur as commander for the purpose of rallying the American people to the war, and if lost, of eliminating MacArthur as a probable presidential candidate on the republican ticket. If the demand in 1952 is for a military president, they want Eisenhower.

But MacArthur, the great soldier and patriot, refused to be crushed. What a pity he is not now our President. He will win the war if given a free hand. The only possible way to defeat him is by the treachery of Truman and Acheson and their Zionist assistants.

The President, at the instance of Acheson, endeavored to suppress an address of General MacArthur to his fellow Veterans of Foreign Wars at their annual convention in Chicago. In this speech General MacArthur said :

“Our line of defense is a natural one and can be maintained with a minimum of military effort and expense. It envisions no attack against anyone nor does it provide the bastions essential for offensive operations, but properly maintained would be an invincible defense against aggression. If we hold this line we may have peace,—lose it and war is inevitable.”

He said also :

“To pursue any other course would be to turn over the fruits of our Pacific victory to a potential enemy. It would shift any future battle area 5,000 miles eastward to the coasts of the American continents, our own home coasts; it would completely expose our friends in the Philippines, our friends in Australia and New Zealand, our friends in Indonesia, our friends in Japan, and other areas, to the lustful thrusts of those who stand for slavery as against liberty, for atheism as against God.”*

It is reported that this is also the view of former Secretary of Defense Johnson and General Omar Bradley and the “high command.” General MacArthur bowed to the command of his commander-in-chief and did not authorize the delivery of the address. Senator Wherry said of Truman’s attempted gag :

“In the press and over the radio we hear reports of President Truman’s order putting a gag on General Douglas MacArthur’s message on Formosa to the meeting of the Veterans of Foreign Wars in Chicago yesterday. Such action is outrageous and will be resented by every American.

“Apparently there are fundamental differences over Far East American policy between Secretary of State Dean Acheson and General MacArthur, but General MacArthur,

*Congressional Record, Aug. 28, 1950, page 13769.

as a good soldier, is obeying and carrying out the orders of the Commander in Chief as dished up to him by the bungling Acheson.”*

Senator Knowland said of it:

“Our moral leadership was undermined by the secret deals of Yalta, regarding which neither the Congress of the United States nor the American people had information until later years. The suppression of the Wedemeyer report on China for two years, and the report of General Wedemeyer on Korea, which has now been held in secrecy for three years, has allowed the State Department to lose for us in the Far East all that our fighting men had won in World War II at great sacrifice . . . Now once again the administration is gagging a patriotic soldier, who sees the danger facing our Nation in the Far East, and want to warn our people before it is too late.”**

If this war was in fact only a “police action” to enforce the orders of the United Nations, as Truman proclaimed, then General MacArthur would be the commander and not President Truman, and his effort to gag MacArthur was sheer impertinence. General MacArthur is entitled to credit for accepting the job and obeying orders. But the Korean war is not now regarded as police action by the members of Congress, as revealed by their speeches, nor by the President, as revealed by his messages.

Truman said of the Marines that they were the “Navy’s police force” and that they maintained a “propaganda machine that is almost equal to Stalin’s.” The Marines resented it and the President saw that it might cost votes for the democratic “fair deal” candidates for Congress. On the following day he wrote to General Clifton B. Cates, the Marine Corps Commander: “I sincerely regret my unfortunate choice of language,” etc., and later appeared before the Marine convention and made an abject apology, which was featured pictorially in the daily press.

THE TRUMAN-MacARTHUR WAKE ISLAND CONFERENCE

There was much speculation as to President Truman’s purpose in calling for the Wake Island conference with General MacArthur pending the war. The pro-communist columnist Drew Pearson wrote that it was Truman’s purpose to tell Douglas MacArthur “who was boss.” The commentator Fulton Lewis said that Truman’s purpose was to prevail on General MacArthur not to resign his command. The President may have revealed his purpose in his San Francisco speech following the conference. If so, it was to dramatize his dictatorship and to promote the United Nations.

*Congressional Record, Aug. 28, 1950, page 13678.

**Congressional Record, Aug. 28, 1950, page 13770.

He said in substance :

“I, Harry Solomon Truman, hereby pledge the people of the world that the American people will preserve the peace of the world through the United Nations and that we will defend any and all countries against aggression.”

He had no authority to make any such commitment, for that is a subject over which Congress has exclusive jurisdiction, and Congress had not spoken.

The 82nd Congress may not be willing to assume such a grave responsibility without submitting the issue to the people. It is true that a prior Congress subscribed to the United Nations, but it was without authority to subordinate our government to the United Nations. The Zionists and communists and socialists wish to do so, but the white Christians are the majority and can and should repudiate the membership. Congress did not then know that the United Nations charter was written by the traitor Alger Hiss and other traitors in the State Department. They did not understand that its effect would be to make our government a minority state in a world Zionist super government and abolish our own government.

It now appears that this dramatic Wake Island conference was to use General MacArthur and his victories to promote the United Nations and the democratic party. The meeting was planned by the bunch of traitors who seek to destroy our government. On October 8, 1950 they announced their program to increase our army to three million men, according to the Associated Press and United Press of that date. It was reported that this program has the support of Chairman Vinson of the House Armed Services Committee. It means a military government and confiscatory taxation; it means bureaucracy, bankruptcy, and a dictatorship.

General MacArthur declined to comment on the conference with President Truman further than to assure the Asians that America would protect them against Russia, which was his mission.

General MacArthur performed a miracle in rescuing more than 100,000 of his men in sub-zero weather who were surrounded in mountain passes by an overwhelming number of well clothed and well equipped Chinese red bandits. The hands and feet of many of our men were frozen and had to be amputated. The United Nations was requested to denounce this treacherous Chinese attack. Some of its members objected and the decision was postponed. It is within our power to punish the depraved traitors who designed the trap, but no punishment is adequate; the most that we can do is to try them for treason and if convicted execute them in disgrace and confiscate their property.

6.

POLITICS

In his St. Louis campaign speech, Nov. 4, 1950, President Truman said, "Isolationism and greater strength and prosperity are the major campaign issues." The Associated Press reported that there were several hundred vacant seats and that "hundreds of police, detectives and secret service men guarded the auditorium." Obviously they constituted a large part of his audience. He paid tribute to the many "honorable men and women in the republican party who have backed bi-partisan foreign policy."

Truman condemned the republicans who attacked the bi-partisan policy and who attacked his administration "and sought to destroy the United Nations and labor unions through the infamous Taft-Hartley law." He promised its repeal. He boasted that the democratic party had "broadened and increased social security and raised the minimum wage from 40c to 75c an hour." He asserted that a "vote for isolationism would be a vote for national suicide." This speech was made over a nationwide radio three days before the 1950 election and was paid for by the National Democratic Committee. It was the platform and program of that committee.

ISOLATIONISTS

We must return to our ancient moorings, viz: Constitutional Government and isolationism. Dean Acheson sought to reflect on Senator Taft by saying he is an "isolationist." If he is, in fact, it is to his credit. It is to be hoped that some of our alleged statesmen will have the courage to stand for constitutional government and our ancient isolation foreign policy. We were a happy and prosperous people with a solvent government until they were abandoned by Roosevelt, followed by Truman. We are now at war and are an over-taxed people with a bankrupt government seeking to find something else to tax.

Isolationism was not the issue in the 1950 campaign; it was discussed only incidentally. The issues were Dean Acheson, Felix Frankfurter and the other traitors in our government, the C.I.O., A.F. of L., the Taft-Hartley Act, the Truman administration, and

Truman and his Zionist-labor government. They were all repudiated, even in the strongholds of organized labor.

The democrats of the South are as strongly opposed to Truman and his "fair deal" and "welfare state" and his pro-Zionist Wall Street labor administration, as are the people of the West, who turned against him. In Oklahoma, where the democrats elected the governor, they repudiated the United Nations and the World Federalist "Genocide Convention" by a vote of more than four to one, despite the fact that the Genocide Convention had previously been approved by the legislature. The American people are obviously satisfied with their Constitution and system of government but not the present administration of it. That is the correct interpretation of the election.

There was no political party or candidate that would stand for our system of government in preference to the United Nations, or for isolationism as against internationalism; these important issues were not, therefore, directly involved in the election. Senator Taft accepted the challenge as to the repeal of the Taft-Hartley Act, and won by a majority of more than 430,000 votes. William Green, president of the A.F. of L., and Philip Murray, president of the C.I.O., campaigned to defeat him. The Zionist communist, Jack Croll of the C.I.O., undertook to raise a campaign fund of \$12,000,000 by an assessment on the C.I.O. membership. He reported a campaign contribution of \$460,000. The balance, if collected, was obviously misappropriated. The election results must be interpreted as an approval of the Taft-Hartley Act and a repudiation of their leaders by the membership of organized labor, which is to the credit of the membership.

ELECTION RESULTS

The election of Senator Taft in Ohio and the defeat of the President's spokesmen, Lucas in Illinois, Myers in Pennsylvania, and Tydings in Maryland, was a repudiation of his administration, but it did not faze him or change his plans.

The Associated Press reported on November 15, 1950, that Truman wrote Chairman Doughton of the Ways and Means Committee to prepare an excess profits tax bill at the short session of Congress that would provide for \$4,500,000,000. He recommended that profits be subject to this tax after July 1, 1950. He also recommended that government agencies begin "blueprinting at over a new multi-billion dollar foreign aid program for presentation to Congress in January." Thus it appears that the President adopted Lenin's plan of communizing America, viz: to cause us to "*spend* ourselves into bankruptcy." It is a slow but sure route.

The American people have impeached Truman, Acheson, and Frankfurter as shown by the results of the November election. Self-respect and decency should compel them to resign. It was a

vote of want of confidence in the Truman administration. In England or France it would have meant an election to bring about a change of government in order that the government represent the will of the people. Our system does not require it. It demonstrates a weakness in our Constitution. Congress should elect the president every two years instead of the politicians electing him every four years. Under our present system the president dominates Congress through his power to give jobs to them and their friends. The suggested change would make the president responsive to the will of Congress. They would dictate to the president instead of he to them, for they would have the power of removal without impeachment.

THE TRUMAN PROGRAM

President Truman in his message to the adjourned session of the 81st (lame duck) Congress, which convened on November 27, 1950, urged Congress to grant statehood to Hawaii and Alaska, and to appropriate \$17,800,000,000 for the Korean war, continue rent control and levy an excess profits tax. He scheduled statehood for Alaska and Hawaii as the first order of business. Congress rejected it on the ground that it was packing the senate for the adoption of the Truman program. It would also be important to Truman and Acheson in case of impeachment by the 82nd Congress.

Senator Wherry, the republican minority floor leader, said that the first order of business should have been the "removal of Dean Acheson," to which should be added Truman and Frankfurter. Congress should promptly create an impartial non-partisan board or committee to investigate the Pearl Harbor disaster (which has been twice white-washed), the Korean war, and Zionist responsibility for them. It should then take the necessary steps to relieve us of traitors and to restore constitutional government.

The House of Representatives adopted an excess profits tax bill providing for a tax of 77 per cent, retroactive from July 1, 1950, on profits above "normal" as defined by the bill, which is estimated to raise about \$3,000,000,000 additional revenue. The taxpayers, as represented by the United States Chamber of Commerce and the National Manufacturers Association and about 100 voluntary witnesses, appeared before the House Ways and Means Committee in opposition. It is an unjust and discriminatory method of taxation that will discourage private enterprise and be harmful to our country. The representatives of the taxpayers proposed an increase in the rate as an alternative, which has been adopted, but not as an alternative; both plans were enacted.

A better plan is to issue \$4,000,000,000 of currency and thereby relieve the overburdened taxpayer of the added tax load.

This would increase prices and wages, called inflation, but inflation is better than deflation and bankruptcy. It is better than debt, slavery and communism. Our money is inflated as compared with the monies of other countries. They cannot trade with us unless we give or lend them the money. The dollar ought to be deflated.

A still better plan is to withdraw from the Roosevelt-Hiss-Zionist United Nations and from the Atlantic Pact. Let the Europeans create their own "United States of Europe" or pacts, without us. They can defend themselves against Soviet Russia with Germany's help, but can't without it, — not even with our help. We should protect Formosa and arm the Chinese nationalists so that they can defend themselves.

Major General Claire Chennault, retired Air Force Commander in China during World War II, says that the nationalists of China can win with our support and that all that is necessary is to equip them. We should equip Chiang's men and let them finish the war. Congress should then demand the retirement of General Marshall as Secretary of Defense and the appointment of General MacArthur as his successor.

The President, in his economic message to Congress of January 12, 1951, called for huge new "pay as you go taxes, strict price and wage and rent controls." He said everybody will have to make "big sacrifices for years to come, all the way down to using towels and sheets longer." He estimated that we will "require \$140,000,000 in this and the next fiscal year," and asked Congress to "drastically increase taxes and to curb speculation." He told Congress that "1,000,000 more men and women may be added to the armed forces within the next few months," and he claimed the power to add them without consulting Congress.

Stalin could not have devised a better and more certain program for communizing America and for the destruction of our government. We have reached the saturation point on income taxes, called "diminishing returns," where increasing the rate will reduce production and create less revenues. The democratic "fair deal" House leader McCormick proposed through the press of the same date (January 12, 1951) a national sales tax. This is an indirect, disguised and comparatively painless tax upon the consumer. We have reached the limit or nearly so, of providing revenue by income taxation, and must adopt a sales tax if the President creates another emergency or sends a large army to Europe.

There are in fact two better methods of providing the required revenue, viz:

(1) To confiscate the wealth of the rich Zionist traitors who euchered us into this war. Justice, as well as our necessity, requires the adoption of this plan. It will involve a trial

of traitors and an adjudication. We are entitled to know the truth about this war and also World War II. When we know the truth the remedy will suggest itself, "and the truth will make us free."

(2) To pay in currency "as we go" and not by taxes and bonds which will produce inflation, unless prices and wages are frozen. But inflation is better than deflation and bankruptcy and price and wage controls and communism. Inflation simply means high wages and prices, and cheap money. It benefits the debtor, the producer and the farmer, and it injures the bond holder, the creditor and salaried people. But it does not produce poverty and bread lines and soup kitchens and socialism. It is admittedly bad, but is better than deflation.

The President's plan will result in communism and a dictatorship, if indeed that is not the purpose of it. He has practically established himself as a dictator by usurpation. In a press statement on the same date (January 12, 1951) he asserted that he had power to send troops to Europe in support of the Atlantic Pact and that while he would consult Congress, he would do as he pleased regardless of Congress, and if Congress refused to appropriate the money to pay for the troops he would "go to the mat" with them; that he "had licked them once and could do so again."

The press commentators have interpreted this to mean that Truman would seek re-election. But it is also subject to the interpretation that he would *abolish Congress*, which I think is what he meant, for it is what he wants and what his Zionist advisers want, and is only another step — a very long one — in his program to make himself our dictator. He can only obtain that goal by usurpation and theft, for he cannot obtain it by the ballot.

7.

SABOTAGE

The Associated Press reported on August 14, 1950 that four republican members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, viz: Wiley of Wisconsin, Hickenlooper of Iowa, Smith of New Jersey, and Lodge of Massachusetts, charged that "the Truman administration policy invited Russia to grab whatever it could in China, Korea, and Formosa." Attacking presidential agreements at Yalta and Potsdam, they said "the Roosevelt and Truman Far Eastern policy consistently temporized with and capitulated to the ruthless program of the communists." They said further that they "disintegrated our armed forces in 1945" and caused the senseless destruction of billions of dollars worth of military equipment which the United States and its friends so desperately need today.

OR WAS IT "PLANNED THAT WAY"?

They ascribe this conduct to "incompetence and bungling," which is a charitable view to take. Was it in fact "incompetence and bungling" that caused Roosevelt to select Alger Hiss as his adviser at Yalta, and as Secretary of the San Francisco convention that created the United Nations Charter? Was it "incompetence and bungling" that caused Roosevelt to select Stettinius of J. P. Morgan & Co., as Secretary of State; Henry Stimson, attorney for Kuhn, Loeb & Co., as Secretary of War; the Zionist Henry Morgenthau as Secretary of the Treasury; the Zionist Felix Frankfurter as United States Supreme Court Judge; and the Zionists Neyhaus alias Niles, and Baruch as "assistant presidents"?

Was it in fact "incompetence and bungling" that caused Roosevelt to doublecross Chiang Kai-shek and to cede Manchuria to the communists at Yalta? Was it "incompetence and bungling" that caused him to select the communist Sidney Hillman as the dictator of the Chicago democratic convention and Truman as Vice President and his probable successor?

Was it "incompetence and bungling" that caused Truman to ratify Roosevelt's program at Potsdam, and to divide Germany into four zones giving the bolsheviks the eastern fertile agricul-

tural section and agreeing to the confiscation of the property of the inhabitants of the eastern zone and the expulsion of 12,000,000 of them from their homes? Was it "incompetence and bungling" that caused Truman to continue Roosevelt's Zionist advisers and program; to select Dean Acheson as Secretary of State and the pro-communist George C. Marshall as Secretary of Defense and the Zionist Jewess Anna Rosenberg Assistant Secretary?

We may excuse Truman on the ground of "incompetence and bungling" and ignorance, but it is a lame excuse for a *President of the United States* and not a valid one. We are all legally responsible for the consequences of our conduct. It is a severe tax on our charity and credulity to find an excuse for President Truman but no excuse is valid for the conduct of Roosevelt and Acheson.

The Zionists designed and partially executed a most adroit and cunning plan to communize the world, including America, and it is now in process of accomplishment. A very large part of Europe and Asia have already fallen, and our government is seriously threatened. If we lose the war with Russia and China, we are sunk; if we win, we still have the Zionists and the Socialists to deal with. If we lose, we lose our liberty and our system of government and our Christian religion. We will not be safe until we hang the traitors and banish the communists, 95 per cent of whom are Jew Zionists. We must imprison them in concentration camps until we can transport them.

Congress should repudiate the Yalta, Teheran, Quebec and Potsdam agreements; the bolsheviks have already done so. Their intent and purpose was to communize the world. President Truman in his radio report to the Nation on September 1, 1950 now admits that this is true. He said,

"The Soviet Union has repeatedly violated its pledges of international co-operation. It has destroyed the independence of its neighbors. It has sought to disrupt those countries it could not dominate. It has built up tremendous armed forces far beyond the needs of its defense."

He said in substance that the Soviet politburo are liars, that their purpose is to communize and dominate the world, and that they are the instigators of the Korean War.

All of that is true but the President is rather late in recognizing it. If it is true, then what in the name of common sense is the use of having a conference with them about a Japanese treaty and the disposition of Formosa?

GERMANY

It was Truman and not Roosevelt who made the Potsdam treaty that gave Berlin and East Germany to Stalin, which resulted in the forced migration of 12,000,000 Germans and the confiscation of their homes and property. Senator Langer, who recently returned from a visit to Germany, says of their condition:

“Those families were driven from their homes, away from their churches, away from their schools. Where are they today? We have heard a great deal of the displaced persons; but the situation of these millions of people is just as bad as the worst we have ever heard about displaced persons. I saw them. They live in single rooms, three or four families in a room. They live in camps and shacks. Children are without proper food, and are getting no education. How can they when the heads of families are without work and fathers and mothers see no hope of re-establishing a home?”

He also said that the fate of Europe is dependent on the Germans.

“Let me repeat the warning that if Western Germany should fall a victim to Russia, the hundreds of millions of dollars which we have spent in France, Italy, and England will have been spent in vain, for those nations, too, will fall before Communist aggression.”

He said of the Nuremberg trials:

“Moreover, the rules under which the defendants were tried were not the rules and laws prevailing in Germany, but were the rules written specifically for the purpose of the trials. Though the laws were drafted by Americans they did not follow American standards of procedure at all. . . .

“There has been much discussion, both pro and con, with respect to the war-crimes trials which we held in Nuremberg. I am not now speaking of the first Nuremberg trials, in which the Russians openly participated, to try Goering and the other Nazi leaders. I am speaking of the subsequent war-crimes trials, which were conducted by American judges, under American law written especially for the purpose. The prosecutors were Americans. . . .

“The other trials were conducted by American judges and American prosecutors according to American laws specifically enacted for that purpose. The difference between them was as great as the difference between day and night. For the second Nuremberg trials we sent from all over the

United States judges to try between 2,000,000 and 3,000,000 Germans who were arrested and tried at what were called the denazification trials . . .

“If the payrolls of the Nuremberg prosecution staff were subpoenaed by the Judiciary Committee, headed by the distinguished Senator from Nevada, it will be seen that PRACTICALLY THE ENTIRE PROSECUTION STAFF WAS COMPOSED OF LEFTISTS AND MEN WHO SINCE THEN HAVE BEEN EXPOSED AS COMMUNISTS, AND MEMBERS OF COMMUNIST-FRONT ORGANIZATIONS. They were, and still are, leftists. The tactics they pursued at Nuremberg were partisan. They were not the sort of tactics we expect to see followed in an American courtroom. They acted under the Moscow principle that the state can do anything to its enemies, and they decided who were those enemies.”*

The Christian Germans cannot be enthusiastic over the appointment of the pro-Zionist Ike Eisenhower as commander. He restrained General Patton from taking Berlin; he was the first administrator of the Morgenthau Plan and was responsible for stationing Negro soldiers in Berlin.

LEHMAN

Senate Resolution 187, providing for a review of the policy of dismantling German industrial plants, was before the Senate on the consent calendar on August 8, 1950. Under the rules of the Senate no resolution or bill can be considered on the consent calendar if there is objection by any senator. The New York Zionist international banker, Senator Lehman, objected. The following is a colloquy between Senator Wheery, minority leader, and Senator Lehman, regarding this resolution:

MR. WHERRY: I was assured by various members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee that Senate Resolution 187 would be given early consideration. I had hoped it would be agreed to during the call of the calendar. Of course, I realize that any Senator has a right to object. . . .

The first resolution for this purpose had the signatures of approximately 50 senators upon it. All that it calls for is a review of the dismantling program in Germany . . . We have had this matter before us for two years. For two years we have tried to have this resolution agreed to and now it is here before us on the consent calendar, and it will be impossible to bring it up again before the adjournment of Congress . . .

MR. LEHMAN: I object.

*Congressional Record, December 18, 1950, page 16872-4.

MR. WHERRY: What is the objection to the resolution, may I inquire?

MR. LEHMAN: I object to both the declarations and conclusions of the resolution and I do not think its adoption is necessary.

MR. WHERRY: Of course, the Senator from New York knows that it will be impossible to bring up the resolution for debate at any other time in the present session.

MR. LEHMAN: I continue my objection to the present consideration of the resolution.*

The dismantling and destruction of German factories continues in both the British and French zones. The French profess to fear the revival of German military power, and the British her economic powers, but both need German help to check the March of Bolshevism. Indeed, it is necessary; for if Germany lines up with the communists, Europe is doomed and America is seriously threatened. Senator Lehman knows that this is true. His objection to the consideration of the resolution was to help the communists. It brands him as a communist sympathizer and traitor.

DUAL CITIZENSHIP

Senator Lehman provides an apt illustration of dual citizenship. The Zionists claim to be dual citizens wherever they reside. It is reported that the governments of Greece, Austria, Sweden, and Denmark have acquiesced, and that Britain, France and America will not revoke citizenships on the ground that the Zionists are citizens of the State of Israeli. Never has such privilege been granted to any other nationality or race of people. It is contrary to sound public policy.

No man can serve two masters for he will "forsake the one and cleave to the other." No man can be a Zionist and a Christian, for the Zionists are anti-Christ and anti-Christian. No man can be a communist and a loyal citizen of the United States,—and all Zionists are communists or socialists. The international banker Zionist Senator Lehman, the reputed father-in-law of Henry Morgenthau, should be expelled from the United States Senate and from America.

Lehman is loyal to the State of Israeli and the Zionists, and he is disloyal to America. If the communists win, Zionists and Zionism will win, and we will lose our Christian religion and our Constitutional system of government. His objection to the consideration of the senate resolution served to neutralize Germany in the present war and to help the communists to win it.

*Congressional Record, August 8, 1950.

Lehman and the other international Zionist bankers are the head and front and the master minds of the American traitors. They secured the appointment of Jno. J. McCloy of the Chase National Bank, and the Zionist Benjamin Bottenweiser of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., as West German administrators in pursuance of the Morgenthau-Eisenhower program. The West Germans are white Christians and would be our friends, despite our brutal treatment of them. What a contrast between the humane administration of Japan by General Douglas MacArthur, and the Morgenthau-Eisenhower administration of Germany!

GEORGE C. MARSHALL
Secretary of Defense

I have doubted the loyalty of General Marshall since the Pearl Harbor disaster and his attempt to communize China. He revealed his true character in the appointment of his two assistants, viz: the Zionists Anna M. Rosenberg and Max Leva. General Marshall was a Roosevelt pet and is now a Truman pet. He appears to be a sanctimonious hypocrite.

8.

VETO OF INTERNAL SECURITY ACT*

This bill provided a practical and correct test of loyalty of our government employees. It was not only actively opposed by all of the Zionist members of Congress, but by all of the Zionist political organization, viz: American Jewish Committee, American Jewish Congress, Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, Anti-Nazi League, Jewish Labor Committee, Jewish War Veterans, and Union of Hebrew Organizations. All of these organizations are political Zionist communist organizations. President Truman vetoed the bill for them and at their request, which is in itself sufficient ground for his impeachment.

Promptly after the President's veto message was read in the House of Representatives, Congressman John E. Rankin of Mississippi said, "This document should be answered on the floor. I have never heard so many mis-statements (lies) in the same number of words. I am sure the President did not write it and I doubt if he even read it. It sounds like communist propaganda." (And was).

"The rumor is that Felix Frankfurter wrote it. They say that he and a man named Russell from the Justice Department spent a large part of yesterday and this morning in the White House preparing this message."** Fulton Lewis, Jr., suggested over the radio that the rumor was that the message was written by the President's assistant, the Zionist David Niles (Neyhaus).

Whether the message was written by the Zionist Frankfurter or Niles or some other Zionist, it was a Zionist communist message and a disgrace to the office of president. It is a disgrace to the office of president that the occupant must have Zionist approval of an act designed to restrain them. The President said in his message that the act would *help the communists and not restrain them*. The communists and "fellow travellers" in the House and Senate and the patriots in Congress did not take that view of it. The veto was rejected by a House vote of 286 to 48.***

*H. R. 9490, Congressional Record, September 22, 1950, page 15672-76.

**Congressional Record, 81st Congress, page 15672.

***Congressional Record, 81st Congress, page 15676.

Every communist and communist sympathizer, led by the Zionist Emanuel Celler and the pro-Zionist Franklin D. Roosevelt, Jr., and the communist Marcantonio, voted to sustain the veto. The same was true in the senate, where the vote to sustain was supported by the vote of every communist and pro-Zionist, led by the Zionist Lehman. It was rejected by a vote of 59 to 10.**

The President's veto of this bill is another ground for his impeachment. By a vote of about 6 to 1 our representatives in Congress, irrespective of party, have sought to protect our security. Their principal purpose was to intern traitors pending war, as revealed by the act and the discussion of it.

The President, in his lengthy discussion of the bill, did not mention the all important subject of incarcerating communists. That was the chief objection of the Zionists to the bill. He discussed elaborately (in 5500 words) "thought control business" and the "freedom of expression of opinion." He said, "We need not fear the expression of ideas but we do need to fear their suppression." He said also: "To permit freedom of expression is primarily for the benefit of the majority because it protects criticism and criticism leads to progress." Granted, but what a mockery, coming from Truman, Frankfurter or Niles.*

Truman said as to the section of the bill that limited the immigration of aliens: "The bill would deprive our government and our intelligence agencies of the valuable services of aliens in security operations." As charitable as we may want to be toward his Zionist message, we cannot concede that their services are so very valuable. We did pretty well without them before the Roosevelt and Truman administrations and we can manage somehow to worry along without them.

Nor can we accept his assurance that there are no communists in the Executive Department as long as he retains Acheson and Niles. The Executive and State Departments are "lousy" with them. It should be remembered in this connection that Truman still "sits on the lid" and refuses to permit congressional committees to examine their records. By his message the President convicts himself of being pro-Zionist and pro-communist. He obviously prefers them and their welfare to that of the American people, and obviously the Zionists have more influence in our government than our elected representatives in Congress.

PERVERSION OF INTERNAL SECURITY ACT

This measure was designed by Congress to prevent the influx of Zionist Communists and was opposed bitterly by the President and the Zionists of Congress. Since its enactment the President has not only refused to enforce the law but has perverted it; not

**Congressional Record, Sept. 23, 1950, page 15872.

*I hope that the Zionists will take that view of this brief and argument.

a single communist has been confined; and his judges continue to release the convicted communists on technicalities. The immigration officials have applied the law with great severity to the homeless and friendless Germans. Senator Langer said in his speech regarding his visit to Germany:

“However, that is not all. Mind you, Mr. President, more than 200,000 of those persons had applied to come to the United States, under the quotas; but following the passage of that act, their visas were canceled. Why was that done? At one time at Ellis Island, 1,200 persons were stopped. Some of them were the brides of American soldiers. Some of them had sold their furniture. Many of them had given up their jobs to come here.”*

The immigration officials acted under the authority and by direction of Attorney General McGrath, whose duty it is to enforce the law. A joint committee of twelve Congressmen headed by Senator McCarran from the Senate and Congressman Woods from the House, both democrats, protested this perversion of the act to Attorney General McGrath. He did not deny responsibility but said it was the law and that he was not responsible for writing the law.

This can not be true. The act was scrutinized and debated for a long period of time by the members of Congress, many of whom are able lawyers. It was supported by all of the Christian patriotic members of Congress and opposed by all of the Zionists and pro-Zionists. It was vetoed by the President, presumably on McGrath's advice. He would not have vetoed it if it applied only to the Germans. If it is true then evidently some scoundrel changed the Act after its adoption, and the President was the last person to handle it.

Senator Langer states that the Germans deeply resent their exclusion from America, and justly so. This is confirmed by the recent elections in Bavaria and the other German states in which rearmament was an issue, and the people by an overwhelming majority voted against it; they repudiated Adenaur who advocated it. The allies have proposed that they will lift controls and grant them independence if they will co-operate, but still they refuse. The situation is not helped by the appointment of Eisenhower, their arch enemy, as European commander.

*Congressional Record, Dec. 18, 1950, page 16875.

9.

THE SECOND TRAP

President Truman and his mentor, Dean Acheson, seek to provide a *second trap* for our defeat. They seek to surrender Formosa and China to Russia, and to fight Russia and China *in* Europe where we have no dependable allies.

With the help of the Chinese Nationalists we can defeat them in Asia; but without the help of Germany which we have no right to expect and can not get, we can not do so in Europe. No war is necessary in Asia other than to retain our position in Korea and to arm the Japanese and Nationalist Chinese; but the Zionists urge that we must promptly send an estimated 100,000 troops to Europe in order to protect Europe.

In addition thereto we are told that we must draft our 18 year old boys and tax ourselves to the extent of confiscation; and mobilize our resources and submit to Zionist bureaucratic government and practice self denial. It is to be observed that President Truman, with his yacht and plane and *tax exemptions* and his high salaried Zionist bureaucrats,—does not participate in the privations of war. And he proposes to wage a losing land war in Europe and to send our troops there regardless of Congress and of Germany.

GENERAL IKE EISENHOWER

I do not know whether Mr. Eisenhower is a Swedish Jew as he was reputed to be by his schoolmates at West Point. All that I know about him is "what I read in the papers." And they give him a great deal of publicity. He is a socialist and is president of Columbia University, which has a number of socialist professors and is supported in part by the communist government of Poland. He was a receptive candidate for president on the democratic ticket in 1948 and supported by the A.D.A. and Franklin D. Roosevelt, Jr. and other Zionists. He is now a receptive candidate for president on the republican ticket and is supported by "me too" Dewey and the Zionists. Drew Pearson proposed that he be drafted as a bi-partisan candidate. He reported that President Truman said that "if Ike wants the job I'll nominate him myself."

He was appointed commander of the European Army by Truman and Acheson and the socialist governments of Britain and France. He was Franklin D. Roosevelt's choice for commander of our European Army in the last war. He gave the order that required General Patton to halt his army in order to permit the bolsheviks to capture Berlin, which later made it necessary for us to employ the air lift to feed the people. He stationed Negro soldiers in Berlin who rounded up 4,000 German girls in a tunnel and ravished them. He did not punish these infernal black fiends for their terrible crimes.

President Truman sent him on a tour to Germany and our other proposed Atlantic allies. He is reported to have shed crocodile tears over the grave of General George Patton, of whom he was intensely envious, and to have told the German people that he once hated them but now needs their help and that he is willing to "let by-gones be by-gones."

EISENHOWER'S REPORT TO CONGRESS

The Associated Press of Feb. 1, 1951 stated that General Eisenhower "in glittering military uniform" reported the results of his European trip to a joint session of Congress.

He stated that the French would furnish 25 divisions by 1952 or 1953; and that Great Britain and Norway would fight, but did not specify the troops; that he thought he could assemble an army of 6 or 700,000 soldiers; that he was opposed to "dragooning the Germans;" that we *must* send troops to Europe or "wither away and suffer economic atrophy and then finally collapse."

Commenting on Eisenhower's oration, Senator Johnson, democrat of Colorado, said:

"The general character in the General's report was very general. I could find no disagreement with the generalities."

It is to be observed that the General recognizes that he can only get German support by "dragooning" them, which he does not want to do. Congressman Gross of Iowa expressed his estimate of the Eisenhower report as follows:

"According to Eisenhower, if England, if France, if Italy, if all the rest can rise to the patriotic grandeur, we might not need some help.

"Does he mean the kind of help we are not getting in Korea? In other words and according to the Eisenhower formula, if we will provide the ham we will be sure to have ham and eggs, if we will also provide the eggs."*

*Congressional Record, Feb. 5, 1951, page 1023.

The European countries can not be defended without German help, and the Germans are not likely to enlist under Eisenhower. His selection as commander is, of course, acceptable to the communist British Secretary of Defense Shinwell and to the communist Louis Kahn, France's Secretary of Defense, and to our Secretary of State Dean Acheson, and Felix Frankfurter, for it insures the communism of Europe and probably of the world; and it insures our defeat.

AMERICAN TROOPS TO EUROPE

President Truman appears to have asked Congress for authority to send four divisions, 72,000 men, to Germany, despite his defiant statement that he would send them regardless of Congress. It is reported that we now have two divisions in Germany. The Zionists claim that such a small force is insufficient and that in case of attack may be destroyed. Obviously this is true, and it is also true that six divisions may also be destroyed if the attacking force is large enough.

What do these 36,000 men do in Germany? What business have we or they in Germany? How much have they cost us and are they now costing us? Who is keeping them there and by what authority and for what purpose? Why not bring them home, together with John J. McCloy and the Zionist Benjamin Buttenweiser, and permit the Germans to govern themselves?

The 72,000 troops are not enough to defend Europe against the many millions of Russia and China. President Truman has warned us that the Europeans will soon need a million men for their defense and that we must increase our army to 3,500,000 men and further increase our taxes,—but that still will not be enough.

We are headed for another destructive war. What is the purpose of it, and who are the aggressors? Stalin accuses "Wall Street" which he aptly and truthfully calls our "ruling class." Wall Street accuses Stalin and his Politburo, saying that they are constitutional liars, will not abide by their compacts and plan to conquer the world. They each tell the truth about the other. They are both governed by Zionists and their objectives are the same. They both seek to establish a Zionist Empire and to enslave the Christians. The war is primarily directed against the United States because we are now the principal bulwark of Christianity and freedom. Destroy us, fortify the United Nations, and they have their Empire.

These four divisions (72,000 men) are but an entering wedge,—the beginning of an endless demand for troops for the defense of Europe. The Europeans will eventually get the most of our troops, our munitions and our wealth. It is a lying treacherous scheme to destroy and enslave us. It is a *second trap* by the same traitors who set the first one, and for the same purpose.

England, France, Italy and East Germany are partially communist. The greater part of Europe is now governed by either socialists or communists. It is their privilege. The Europeans have the right to have the sort of government they want without let or hindrance from us. Communism will defeat itself if the people do not want it. Wars will not destroy it; they will only aggravate it. America will not "wither away and die" if we refuse to send an army to Europe, as General Eisenhower asserts. We have lived and thrived with all kinds of European governments and will continue to do so provided we ourselves maintain a good government.

STALIN'S THREAT

It is reported that Stalin threatens that if we re-arm Western Germany that Russia will invade her. We can not regard this as an idle threat, for it is the logical move for Russia to make. Nor will the Germans and our alleged allies so regard it. The probability is that if President Truman sends troops to Europe, as he threatens to do, he will initiate war on the European front while we are as unprepared for it there as we were in Korea.

BOMB RUSSIA

If it is true, as the President asserts, that the communists are determined to conquer and rule the world, and that they will not abide by their agreements,—then there can be no negotiated peace with them, and war is inevitable, and the sooner we have it and get it behind us the better. We can now win it with the A bomb. As destructive as it is, we should employ it, for it is not any more so than a long war. We should bomb Russia without delay.

Major General Orville A. Anderson, commandant of the Air War College, is reported by the Associated Press on September 1, 1950 to have said:

"To assume that the Russians won't use their A bombs if we sit by and watch them build them is a dangerous assumption . . . Give me the order to do it and I can break Russia's five A bomb sites in a week. And when I went up to Christ I think I could explain to Him that I had saved civilization."

Why has not the order been given? Why is it necessary to draft one million men and increase taxes and empower the President to control prices and our economy if the war can be terminated in a week's time? The obvious answer is that Truman does not want to destroy the bogey-man. It would destroy his prospective "welfare state."

No one has challenged the truth of General Anderson's declaration, but the Administration answered it by discharging him. By bombing and destroying Russia's five bomb factories they would destroy the bogey-man by which they scare us into appropriations and into war, and the eventual "welfare state" and a communist dictatorship with Zionist dictators. It has been suggested that we use the bomb on the Chinese soldiers who have crossed the Yalu River. But these ignorant soldiers do not know what it is all about. If we must use the bomb in war, the Kremlin in Moscow is the proper target.

THE WELFARE STATE

The purpose of all these shenanigans is the establishment of a Truman dictatorship and a Zionist welfare state. That is what the Zionists have in Russia, with Stalin as dictator. That is what Congress has delegate in the War Production Act of 1950, proposed by Baruch and advocated by Morgenthau. That is what Truman advocates.

God alone can save us from a dictatorship and communism. He will do so if we will only do our part and trust Him and serve Him. We do not deserve His help for we elected Roosevelt and Truman, and the representatives in Congress who have granted Truman the powers of a dictator.

10.

Dictatorship

In a radio speech December 15, 1950, the President announced an emergency and the appointment of Charles E. Wilson as Director of Mobilization to mobilize our resources and manpower. Soon thereafter he departed in his private yacht, the Williamsburg, for a pleasure cruise. He said in his pronouncement that certain articles were in scarce supply (steel and copper) and should be rationed immediately and that he would specify others later. This is the Baruch Zionist scheme for the purpose of creating a dictatorship, and not for increasing production, as they pretend.

This plan of "increasing production" originated during the Wilson administration and Baruch was our first "War Production czar." It has never yet "increased production" but it has always hampered it and created bureaucratic government with Zionist bureaucrats, and that is the present purpose.

Price and Wage Control

The President actively opposed the resolution to empower a congressional committee to determine when and to what extent wage, price and rationing controls should be imposed on our economy. He sought to obtain a free hand to regulate it, when and as long as he pleased, and Congress granted it to him by a bipartisan vote. This means that Frankfurter, Rosenman, Neyhaus (Niles), Acheson, and other Zionists and pro-Zionists will be our dictators. This means also that the communist Zionists will be in control of our government and our economy during the period of the war and probably long afterwards.

Price and wage control and bureaucracy are wholly unnecessary. If this war is in fact only "police action" to enforce the order of the United Nations, we can accomplish it with 200,000 or 300,000 soldiers and without straining our economy; and we can prepare for a still greater war without doing so. In fact, price and wage controls will hamper us.

Truman said apologetically as to price and wage control that "in these fields where price control is imposed, the government

will also *undertake to stabilize wages, as the law requires.*" He said also, "in the immediate future a series of control orders will be issued by the Economic Stabilization Agency." DiSalle is the stabilizer, Symington is the Co-ordinator, Valentine was then at the head of the N.R.B. or the N.P.A., and Ching of the E.S.A. All of these bureaus have been created by the President and salaries fixed and duties prescribed, in violation of our Constitution. The principal purpose appears to be to hold down prices and wages, which is also the purpose in part of the Director of Mobilization.

Truman showed his contempt for Congress by usurping these powers while Congress was in session. The members of Congress are our elected representatives. They alone can create offices, prescribe their duties and fix their salaries. They have the power to remove the president, withdraw from the United Nations and the Atlantic Pact. They have the exclusive power to create emergencies and the office of Director of Mobilization and to prescribe his salary and duties; the President can only recommend.

In his speech of December 15, 1950 he said: "*I am establishing an office of Defense Mobilization. I am appointing Charles E. Wilson to be director of this office.*" Observe the "*I am establishing an office,*" not that "*I establish it subject to the approval of Congress.*" He announced that Wilson had accepted, and gave him power to ration certain materials, which he said were scarce; and said that he would ask Congress for additional power.

We don't know much about Wilson other than that he is president of the General Electric Company, that this company is a huge monopoly and that it is controlled by the Zionists of Wall Street. He will be more useful to the Zionists as our dictator than as president of this company. The press reported that Wilson appointed Sydney Weinberg of the international Zionist banking firm of Goldman, Sachs & Co., as his assistant and that Weinberg would select his staff. It does not require the gift of prophecy to predict that they will all be Zionists, and that Weinberg will be our actual dictator. Wilson and Clay, who is at the head of the Continental Can Company, another Zionist outfit, are only ornamental Christian (?) stooges. The firm of Goldman, Sachs & Co. is a Rothschild agency. The Rothschild money power has bankrupted and destroyed the British Empire and they plan to bankrupt and destroy our government.

We do not need a dictator to make us work or to increase production. If given the incentive we will do the work. Congress should dismiss the director of mobilization, and economic and price stabilizers and permit the just law of supply and demand to operate. We will produce more if permitted to do so under our free enterprise system than under bureaucratic control. One is freedom, which we love; the other is slavery, which we abhor.

We work for profit as an incentive, and not from compulsion and fear of punishment. God made us that way. What if we do make money! The government gets a large part of it in taxes. What if we have a little inflation! It makes it the easier to pay our taxes and debts.

It is infinitely more important to get rid of the traitors in our government than to increase production, or create a bureau for that purpose. We can't win the war with traitors running it; or through the United Nations or the Atlantic Pact.

I nominate General Douglas McArthur for commander-in-chief of our armed forces with power to use the atom bomb when and where needed. If appointed, we will win the war speedily; I predict that it will not continue ninety days longer, and will save multiplied thousands of our young men and our government.

MOBILIZATION PLAN

The Magazine *Time* reported in its issue of Jan. 29, 1951, that:

"The same day that Mobilizer Wilson sounded the call for industrial control President Truman announced that if 'voluntary measures failed' he would use his present powers and ask Congress for additional ones . . .

1) to tell employers the numbers and kinds of workers they may have, 2) see that individuals serve in the jobs for which they are best fitted, 3) require the hiring of women and members of minority groups, 4) import workers from friendly countries if necessary."

This is the scheme of Frankfurter and other Zionists to establish their dictatorship and to enslave us. It will be put into effect unless Congress intervenes.

The plan appears to be to send our able bodied men and boys, 18 years old and up, to Europe, and put the women to work in the factories and to import aliens (minority groups) to take their places. Thus we will lose our government and our liberty.

This action is the result of an "emergency" which the President illegally declared, and to enforce "police action" by the United Nations which he illegally undertook to do. It is the scheme of a power mad President and his power mad Zionist advisers to overthrow our government. It is for the pretended purpose of increasing production for a war that Russia has not declared and is not in position to wage.

USURPATION OF POWER

These acts of usurpation are in themselves sufficient grounds for the impeachment of President Truman. He flaunts our Con-

stitution and ignores our Congress. He delivers our Government to the Zionists of Wall Street and the Zionist United Nations.

*“Upon what meat doth this our Caesar feed,
That he is grown so great? Age, thou art sham’d!
Rome, thou hast lost the breed of noble bloods!
When went there by an age, since the great flood,
But it was fam’d with more than with one man?”**

We do not need a dictator to mobilize us and our activities, but we do need a patriot to purge our government of traitors and to win the Russo-Chinese war. I nominate fighting Senator Joe McCarthy for that job. The emergency now exists and the President has declared it. Congress should confirm it and adopt the remedy. Give Senator McCarthy all of the authority that Truman has given or proposes to give Wilson, with the authority to withdraw from the United Nations and the Atlantic Pact, to use the A bomb, and to purge our government of traitors and to prosecute them.

IMPEACHMENT AND PROSECUTION

Truman intervened in the Korean War for the purpose of creating a dictatorship. There is strong demand for the impeachment of the President and Acheson, and they should be impeached and prosecuted. Truman’s administration was impeached by the electorate in the November election. Congress should confirm it.

The prosecution of Truman’s administration should include his appointees and assistants, Frankfurter, Acheson, et al. There is ample ground for Truman’s impeachment and prosecution in the fact that he was selected by the traitors Roosevelt and Hillman to betray the United States, and he has done so. Every important act of his administration has been one of treachery and deceit; his selection of the communist traitor Niles, Frankfurter, Acheson, et al, as his advisers; his adherence to the socialist treacherous United Nations; his euchering us into the war with Russia and China; and his usurpation of power.

I grant that these are radical measures but our liberty is at stake. However, they are not any more radical than those proposed by the President and those by which he and his predecessor have brought us to this unhappy state. We must undo, as far as possible, everything they have done during the past twenty years of their rule, and put Truman where he cannot continue to injure us.

*From Shakespeare’s “*Julius Caesar*.”

INDICTMENT

Harry Solomon Truman should be impeached and prosecuted as a traitor, upon the following grounds:

1. He seeks to subordinate our government to the United Nations, a world super government;

2. He has selected communist traitors as his advisors, viz: Dean Acheson, Felix Frankfurter, David Niles, et al., and his administration is governed by their advice;

3. He seeks to destroy our government by war and excessive taxation;

4. He seeks to establish himself as a dictator and has usurped the powers of one;

5. He involved us in the disastrous Korean war with Russia and China without authority of Congress;

6. He has ratified the treachery of Dean Acheson who seeks to bring about our defeat in this war;

7. He has not the moral character or intellectual ability or the integrity to fill the highest office of government: he is either a Zionist or a Zionist stooge;

8. He is a socialist or communist and seeks to destroy constitutional government and to establish a welfare state;

9. He failed or refused to enforce the Internal Security Act; and

10. He made the Potsdam agreement with the communists, giving them control of Berlin and East Germany for the purpose of destroying Germany and promoting communism.

Impeachment is a slow and an inadequate remedy. President Truman and his accomplices, including Acheson, Frankfurter, Lehman, Niles, Marshall, Morgenthau, et al., should be prosecuted and punished for treason and as conspirators to commit treason, and the President should be suspended from office pending trial. We are fighting for our lives and our liberty and Christianity, and we cannot win with delays and technicalities and with traitors controlling our government. We can have a mass trial of them as conspirators, where the acts of one is evidence against the others. It may be preferable to have two tribunals and two mass trials, one of the traitors and the other of the communist Zionists.

Congress should then elect a president to serve out Truman's unexpired term. This is indeed a better system than our present electoral system. It is defensible as an emergency measure at this time, and more so than price and wage control, for there will

be a real emergency and not a "cooked up" one. We need a *patriot* as President, who believes in our system of government.

President Truman's first message to the 81st Congress is sufficient ground for his impeachment, for his "Point Four" program is in plain violation of our Constitution and his oath of office. He swore to uphold and defend the Constitution, which he has not done. His Point Four program was not only unconstitutional but involved a change of our government to a *Welfare State*.

There can be no reasonable doubt about the treachery of Dean Acheson. He has surrounded himself with traitors, and his foreign administration has been in the interest of Bolshevik Russia and Zionist Wall Street and Zionist Israeli. His administration of our tariff has been and is to destroy American industries. He condoned the treachery of Alger Hiss; and his law partner is the communist Donald Hiss.

President Truman clings to Acheson knowing him to be a traitor, and despite universal protest. He is reported to have defiantly said in one of his press conferences that Acheson would remain Secretary of State as long as he (Truman) remained President. Let them both go together, for they are "birds of a feather."

What more could an enemy have done to us than Acheson and Truman have accomplished surreptitiously? They disarmed us so that Russia might communize the world. They seek to give Russia all of Asia for that purpose. They seek to destroy our economy and to communize us by free trade and deflation and their Point Four program. *Impeach them and prosecute them as traitors.*

11.

ZIONISTS

Zionists simply cannot live peaceably with Christians, primarily because they are anti-Christ and anti-Christian. Our moral and political principles and our laws are based on our Christian religion, to which the Zionists are opposed. The moral and political principles of the Zionists are based on their Talmud, which is anti-Christ and anti-Christian. The Protocols represent their political code. They explain the history of the world since they were promulgated, for the Zionist Jews have directed it.

The remedy is obvious. It is the age old remedy that has been adopted by every Christian country, and some of them twice,—England, France and Spain: It is the expulsion of the Zionists. It has always been followed by long periods of peace and happiness in the country that applied it.

Jewish historians admit that it is their religion and rabbis that have prevented their assimilation with other races. They denounce segregation on the part of Christians, but they themselves practice it; segregation is a part of their religion. The Christian is a rare exception who attends a Jewish synagogue or has ever been present at a B'nai B'rith meeting; and no Christian is or ever has been a member of the anti-Defamation League or anti-Nazi League. They are strictly closed to Christians for they are anti-Christian and anti our system of government.

ZIONIST DEPRESSIONS

I have personal knowledge of all of our depressions since 1907 and including it, and can, therefore, write with authority regarding them. In 1907 I was president of the Stock Yards National Bank of Fort Worth and owned three private banks, viz: Geo. W. Armstrong & Co., at Sour Lake, Saratoga and Batson. Suddenly and without warning the officers of all of the banks of the country woke up to the fact that they could not get the money with which to conduct their business.

Under the system that then prevailed, the banks were required to deposit their reserves in banks in reserve cities, and the banks of New York City were the central reserve banks. The New York banks refused to pay their customer banks which in

turn caused all other banks to refuse to pay their customers. The New York banks were then the ultimate reservoirs of both currency and credit. Congress created the Pujo Committee to investigate the cause, and the Aldrich Commission to find a remedy. George F. Baker, president of the First National Bank of New York, James B. Forgan, president of the First National Bank of Chicago, and George M. Reynolds, president of the Continental Commercial of Chicago, were witnesses before the Pujo Committee. All of them testified with singular unanimity that there was a "money trust" and that it should be curbed. The Aldrich Commission conducted an extensive investigation and recommended a system which is substantially our present Federal Reserve system.

The frame work of the bill was written by Paul Warburg of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., (who was the actual author of the bill), Davidson of J. P. Morgan & Company, and F. A. Vanderlip, president of National City Bank, at a rendezvous on Jekyll Island. The bill, in its original form, provided for a central banking system, but there was opposition to it by Wm. J. Bryan and Senator Robert L. Owen of Oklahoma and others, with the result that it was amended, and the original act provided for a decentralized, locally controlled system. It was, in fact, a good banking measure, but these amendments were all soon changed.

The system is now a slick money and credit hoarding and manipulating device and it now controls the economy of the country. It brought about the depressions of 1920, which bankrupted me, and of 1930 and 1937. The Zionist New York banks still dominate it and still control our volume of money and our economy. Tom McCabe, president of a Morgan institution, is now chairman of the Federal Reserve Board and is the active head of it. They have recently applied the screws on installment buying and warned the banks to restrict credit, which they will not disregard. We will have a depression when the management of the Federal Reserve system decrees it, which will be when the Zionist bankers want it.

IMPORTANCE OF VOLUME OF MONEY IN CIRCULATION

Our prosperity is dependent on the volume of money in circulation. Increase the volume and we will have high prices and wages, called "inflation." There is no need for an O.P.A. to regulate them. The Zionists manipulate our Federal Reserve System and inflate to "let the suckers in," and deflate to "squeeze them out," and thus the Zionists have acquired through their control of the exchanges, the greater part of the wealth of the world.

I became a student of the money system and its Zionist authors following my bankruptcy in 1923. I wrote "The Crime of '20," "The Calamity of '30," "The Iniquitous Dawes Scheme," and "A State Currency System,—To Hell With Wall Street,"

which were followed by my discussions of the Zionists and their wars.

I made an independent race for governor of Texas in 1932 upon "A State Currency System—To Hell with Wall Street," as my platform, and was defeated. I entered the race for the democratic nomination but withdrew upon the nomination of Franklin D. Roosevelt for president upon a so-called "sound money" (gold standard) democratic platform.

BANISH THE ZIONISTS

Jewish usury and deception and greed became so offensive to the Christians of other countries that they brought about Jewish pogroms where Jews were killed without trial and without exception. The mere fact that they were of Jewish blood was a warrant of death or expulsion. We, however, have a few good Jews and there were a few good ones at the time they crucified our Saviour. It takes rare courage to be a *Christian Jew*, for they are always ostracized by the Zionist Jews. Christian Jews should not be punished simply because they have Jewish blood.

It is quite easy to segregate them for they have separated themselves into groups of "reformed" Jews and "synagogue" Jews. They have further separated themselves in their societies, the B'nai B'rith, the Anti-Defamation League, the Anti-Nazi League, etc. Every synagogue Jew and every member of the B'nai B'rith should be presumed to be a Zionist communist until proven otherwise; and *contra*, every reformed Jew is presumptively a good American citizen. All member of the A.D.L. and A.N.L. should be expelled.

The Zionists have pointed out the judicial remedy in their Nuremberg mass trial. Congress has taken a long step in the right direction in the enactment over President Truman's veto of the Internal Securities Act of 1950, but which is not likely to be enforced as long as Truman is president. It commands the Administration to imprison the traitors in concentration camps. Our sense of justice and our judicial system require that they be tried before impartial judges and punished according to their guilt. The active traitors should be executed and their ill-gotten wealth confiscated, and the others banished.

This will be a vast improvement over the Zionist Nuremberg farce where the judges were all Zionists or pro-Zionists and made fascism (anti-Semitism) and the service of government, crimes, and prescribed penalties for them. Ignominious death and confiscation of property is the ancient common law penalty for traitors. It is a just penalty for the rich Zionist Wall Street Jews because they have acquired our wealth fraudulently and illegally through their Zionist made wars and depressions.

The effect will be not only to rid our nation of traitors, but to restore its solvency. We should restore the solvency of other

Christian countries by appropriating the wealth of the minerals of the Dead Sea and surrounding countries, and dividing it among them, which would *ipso facto* destroy the State of Israeli. This wealth belongs to us by right of conquest, and if it is as rich as it is reputed to be, it will revive every Christian country. If it is taken from the Zionists they will quickly abandon the State of Israeli and migrate elsewhere, and will also abandon their United Nations.

INDICTMENT

I indict the Zionists of Wall Street and their stooges upon the following charges:

1. That they initiated the first world war by sinking the passenger ship *Lusitania* during the war between Germany and England and France, blaming the act on the Germans. We entered the war for the pretended purpose of establishing the freedom of the seas, as declared by President Wilson; and it resulted in the League of Nations;*

2. That they initiated the second world war through the treacherous destruction of our Pacific fleet with about 3,000 of our Navy at Pearl Harbor during a second war between the allies and Germany and Japan;†

3. That the purpose of both the League of Nations and the United Nations was to destroy our nationality and system of government, and to subordinate them to a world government;**

4. That the United Nations charter was created under the auspices of Secretary of State Edward Stettinius, of the firm of J. P. Morgan & Co., at a convention in San Francisco, of which the traitor Alger Hiss was chairman. The charter is reported to have been written by Jacob Pasvolsky and Alger Hiss, both of the State Department. The purpose was to establish a Zionist World Empire;

5. That the Zionists were responsible for the concessions made by Roosevelt at Quebec, Teheran and Yalta, and Truman at Potsdam; and for the Morgenthau plan;

*The Zionists' responsibility is admitted in the British Secret Report No. 1919, called the "Col. E. M. House Letter" which is discussed in my pamphlets "*High Treason*" and "*The Zionists*."

†There has never been an impartial investigation of the traitors responsible for this catastrophe. It has been white-washed and hushed up twice. It resulted in the United Nations.

**The League of Nations Charter was created by Woodrow Wilson, Lloyd George of England and Clemenceau of France. Wilson's adviser was Bernard Baruch; Lloyd George had as his adviser Philip Sassoon, and Clemenceau's adviser was Georges Mandel (Jeroboam Rothschild). Sassoon and Mandel were descendants of Amschel Rothschild.

6. That they promoted the infamous Nuremberg trials which resulted in the imprisonment and death of thousands of innocent men;

7. That they seek to destroy our system of government and to communize us and our children;

8. That they suppress the truth about their treacherous plans to destroy our government, by intimidation and corruption. The following is a sample of their method.

THE ANTI-NAZI LEAGUE

One of the letters issued by the Anti-Nazi League to its members under date of October 20, 1950, fell into the hands of a friend of mine who passed it on to me. It is here re-produced:

Non-Sectarian
ANTI-NAZI LEAGUE
TO CHAMPION HUMAN RIGHTS, Inc.
165 WEST 46th STREET • NEW YORK 19, N. Y.
Cable Address: NOSAN Telephone: Plaza 7-8130

FOUNDED IN 1933
BY SAMUEL UNTERMYER

Officers and
Board of Directors

Prof. JAMES H. SHELTON
Administration Chairman

HERMAN HOFFMAN
Chairman, Board of Directors

REV. HENRY A. ATELSON
Chairman, National Advisory Board

RABBI LEON FRAM
JOHN FREDERICK LEWIS, Jr.
Vice-Presidents

ISSROE LIFECHNUTZ
Vice-Presidents & Treasurer
JULIUS L. GOLDSTEIN
General Counsel

JOSEPH R. APPEL
ALGERNON D. BLACK
ARLOPH BRAUN
ABRAHAM CAHAN
AUGUST CLARSEN
Mrs. BERTHA V. COREY
Mrs. Solomon Director

October 20, 1950

Subject: Did the Jews Start the Korean War?

Dear Member:

This is an emergency letter.

Since the start of the Korean war, there has been an unprecedented outburst of anti-Semitic and racist agitation all over the country.

This propaganda -- which is very heavily financed -- ranges all the way from openly provocative headlines in the CHICAGO TRIBUNE to lurid pamphlets called The Jews Have Got the Atom Bomb.

MABEL B. DUNLAP
MORRIS D. FEUERBACH
MORRIS N. FRIED
P. GINGOLD
MISS ANNA GREENBERG
MISS IRENE HARARD
MRS. LILLIAN HARARD
ARTHUR J. HARVEY
ABRAHAM H. HOLLANDER
REV. STEPHEN M. JAMES
E. M. LOEW
REV. DONALD G. LOTTEROF
ARTHUR L. MALKINSON
IRVING MANES
EZEKIEL RABINOWITZ
DAVID ROBINSON
GERHARD C. SCHROEDER
PROF. WILLIAM P. SEARS, JR.
MISS TOMI SENDER
MISS LISA SERGIO
MAX SILVERSTEIN
HERMANN STERN
DEAN WILLIAM E. TAYLOR
MELTON A. TELFEN
ANDREW VALURSK
JOSEPH WHITE
MAX ZARITSKY

For example: THE BROOM -- West Coast weekly once indicted for war-time sedition -- has just appeared with this full page headline: Prospective Draftee Confused on Jew Problem, Asks For Advice.

This dangerous agitation is already so far advanced as to have become a subject of official concern by the Congressional Committee Investigating Propaganda and Lobbying Activities in Washington.

Gerald L.K. Smith, ring-leader of these trouble-makers, is holding meetings all over the country, stirring up the public to blame the Korean war on "Jewish influences". Taking advantage of press hysteria aroused by the "atom spy" exposures, Smith is pushing a particularly scurrilous pamphlet called Is Communism Jewish?

The same line is reflected by anti-Semitic and former Bundist trouble-makers all over the country.

Behind much of this propaganda is money supplied by the Texas multi-millionaire, George W. Armstrong. This is the man who last year tried

to finance a "hate college" with a \$50,000,000 endowment -- a huge plot successfully exposed and stopped by the Anti-Nazi League. Armstrong's new book The Zionists argues in effect that the words "Jew", "Communist" and "Zionist" all mean about the same thing.

COMMON SENSE, the bi-weekly published in New Jersey with financial support from Armstrong and similar propagandists, says: "The Zionists... have given word for the outbreak of hostilities in Korea... They are working with the enemy and will retard shipment of supplies and help the Communist forces in any way possible..."

Much of the German language press has taken a similarly bad position. For example, the Chicago Burgerzeitung devoted half of its front page to a reprint of the notorious letter sent by Herman Goering to Winston Churchill just before Goering's suicide, in which Goering insolently declares that future history will show that England and America were wrong in not having supported Hitler instead of fighting against him!


Another example is **WOMEN'S VOICE**, the Chicago organ of the isolationist "mothers" clubs, which says: "Bring home our boys in Korea... This war is a Jewish conspiracy."

In short, if the Korean war is not to be followed by an unprecedented flood of anti-Semitism and other dangerous agitation, we must have the means to expose and stop these evil propagandists now. The need for the League's work is more urgent to-day than any time since Hitler started his pogroms.

Whenever the League has had the money necessary to carry through an investigation to the point to securing public exposes and court action, we have succeeded. With your help, we will be able to deal with these merchants of evil in the same effective way that we dealt with Fritz Kuhn, The Columbians, or Joseph Kamp (now serving a sentence in prison).

You have long been a regular supporter of the Anti-Nazi League -- and because of the crisis which we now face in connection with this acutely dangerous situation, I appeal to you to send in an additional emergency contribution -- over and above anything you may have already contributed or plan to contribute this year. May we have your reply by the next mail?

Yours for a better America,


JAMES H. SHELDON
Administrative Chairman

JHS:rt

This is my second warning and the second collection taken up by this "League" for use in "dealing with" me and others. The courageous columnist, Westbrook Pegler, said that the Anti-Nazi League is "a furtive spying organization conducted by Isidore Lipschutz, a Belgian immigrant."* It is in fact a lying, spying

Zionist communist criminal organization supported by Zionist communist criminals who seek to suppress the truth by bribery and otherwise.

LIARS AND TRAITORS

It is reported that this outfit has 2,000,000 members. It is an executive branch of Zionist Communists, of which the Zionist James Sheldon (whose true name is reported to be Aaron Shapiro) is the "administrative chairman," but the real head is the Belgian alien Zionist Isidore Lipschutz, who is their vice-president and treasurer.

They made a "special appeal" for money on my account on November 29, 1949, in order to "stop the hate factory" (quoting from their letter of that date.) They have not "stopped" me nor can they do so short of murder. I speak for Gerald L. K. Smith and other patriots named in the letter, in saying that each and every one of us would welcome death if necessary to save our Christian civilization and our freedom. Every one of us will be liquidated when and if the Zionists think they can safely have the job done. Our Lord and Saviour, Jesus Christ, denounced these bigoted Zionists as "liars, hypocrites and murderers," and they murdered Him. So they were then, and so they are today.

Their system is spying, lying, bribery and murder. It is the system which they employ in Russia and Poland and that they employed while they governed Germany and Hungary. It was the plan adopted in the Nuremberg trials and in the trials of Emory Burke, William D. Pelly and others. *They seek always to suppress truth.* This explains their anti-Semitic and "Genocide" campaigns, and the above letter. It is both their religion and their policy as revealed by their Talmud and Protocols. *They are anti-Christ and anti-Christian.*

The Anti-Nazi League and the Anti-Defamation League are both communist Zionist societies with the same objectives, and composed of liars and traitors. The difference between them is that the Anti-Nazi League is financed and supported by voluntary subscriptions and Isidore Lipschutz, while the Anti-Defamation League is financed and supported by the Zionist B'nai B'rith. They both seek the destruction of our government and of Chris-

*The Anti-Nazi League is now taking up a collection for the suppression of Mr. Pegler.

tians who interfere with their plans. They both employ spies to gather and/or manufacture evidence about Christians; it is reported that the A.D.L. has 2,000 of them.

TRY THE ZIONISTS

The American Zionists established the precedent for their own trials in the de-Nazification Nuremberg trials. They tried between two and eight million Germans *in absentia*. Senator Langer said of these trials that they were "a sham and not justice," and that they were "aimed at property rights." It was intended to "try the accused aggressors, convict them of having started a war, and then confiscate their property as a penalty. Thus Moscow hoped to give a death blow to the capitalistic system." He said further that "practically the entire prosecuting staff was composed of leftists, who since have been exposed as communists and members of communist organizations."*

The British established a precedent for the banishment of the Zionists in their second expulsion of the Jews. The Parliament enacted a law fixing a time limit for the exodus of the Jews. The act provided that any Jew found in England after a fixed date would be executed, which as I recall, was after six months; and the Jews migrated.

Our situation is different, but the precedent is applicable. We have approximately 15,000,000 Jews and the British had only about 30,000. The Christian Jews estimated at from 15,000 to 50,000, should be protected, and the law should apply only to *Zionist Jews* (communists). All of those remaining here after the expiration of the fixed period should be tried, and if found guilty of Zionism, executed. Membership in Zionist political organizations such as the American Jewish Congress, Anti-Defamation League, and Anti-Nazi League should be conclusive proof of guilt. The probability is that they would migrate and that there would not be very many to try.

It is estimated that there are 20,000,000 Jews in the world and that we have about three-fourths of them. There has been a great influx during the Roosevelt and Truman administrations, and it continues despite the internal Security Act of 1950. It is reported that they are now pouring in at the rate of 2,200 a day. The immigration officials are Zionist or pro-Zionist and have been for the past twenty years.

TREASON AND TRAITORS

Treason is the worst of all crimes. It is the only crime defined by our Constitution which declares that it shall "consist in levying war against the United States or adhering to their enemies,

*Congressional Record, Dec. 18, 1950, page 16374.

giving them aid and comfort." Chief Justice Marshall, in the case of the United States vs. Aaron Burr, held that there must be proof of an "overt act of treason by two witnesses," for which he was hanged in effigy. The Constitution leaves it to Congress to fix the penalty. It should be death and confiscation of property. By the common law of England the penalty was "hanging followed by disemboweling and quartering," and confiscation of property.

The United States Supreme Court has held that treason is "a breach of allegiance and can only be committed by one who owes allegiance." It has also held that an alien while domiciled in a country owes a local and temporary allegiance, which continues during the period of his residence.

THE ROTHSCHILDS AND THEIR AGENTS SHOULD BE EXECUTED AND THEIR ILL-GOTTEN GAINS CONFISCATED

These arch traitors are responsible for all of our wars, including the present war, and should be made to pay for them. Their conviction and punishment will establish world peace much more effectively than their United Nations. It will also restore the solvency of our country.

The common run of Zionists should be banished to Russia, the ancient home of the Khazar Jews, or to Madagascar. Let them establish their own government and make their own living from the soil, as we Anglo-Saxons have done.

Let us have a mass trial of all of the Zionists, as they had of the fascists in Nuremberg, Germany, and punish them according to the degree of their guilt. Every statement in my book, "*The Zionists*," is confirmed by their record and is true. The most of them are traitors and should be executed. They seek to destroy our Constitution and to promote a welfare state. None of them are loyal to our system of government. They are all communists or socialists. The spies and traitors that have been found in our State and other departments of government are, with few exceptions, Zionists. There are probably no exceptions, for those who appear to be gentiles may have only changed their names. *They are our enemies and the enemies of our government.*

WAKE UP!

Wake up, my fellow Americans, wake up! I beseech you to wake up. The Zionists have clandestinely imposed on us a welfare state. They operate through Wall Street bankers and the labor leaders. They plan to communize our government and to transform it into a dictatorship. The principal danger is not from

Communist Russia but from Zionist Wall Street and the labor leaders; it is not from Stalin, but from Truman and Frankfurter and Acheson and Green and Murray and Reuther.

We have had two Zionist or pro-Zionist scalawag presidents—Roosevelt and Truman—and nineteen years of Zionist rule in the Executive Department of our government. The Zionists are extremely influential in both our judicial and legislative departments. They dominate our financial and economic and publicity systems. We are well on the road to their Zionist World Empire and our slavery. *Awake, my fellow Americans, awake, I beseech you.*

12.

ISOLATIONISM

The Associated Press of December 20, 1950 quoted former President Herbert Hoover as saying in a radio address:

“America’s defense lines must be the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans and not the continents of Europe or Asia. Any attempt to make war on the Communist mass by land invasion through the quicksands of China, India or Western Europe would be sheer folly.”

He said further that the prime responsibility of defending Europe rested on the Europeans and that “we should not land another man on their shores or lend them another dollar until they organized and equipped combat divisions of such huge numbers as would erect a sure dam against the red flood.”

He proposed “arming the United States Navy and Air Force to the teeth, and to hold the two oceans with possibly one frontier on Britain and the other on Japan, Formosa and the Philippines.” He said that “we have little need for large armies unless we are going to Europe or Asia.” He recommended that “we give Japan her independence and aid her in arms to defend herself and stiffen the defenses of our Pacific frontier in Formosa and the Philippines.”

This is the sage advice of a patriotic Christian statesman. He ignored the Atlantic Pact and the United Nations as peace agencies. We can save our government and Christian civilization by following it, and we cannot do so by following the Truman-Acheson-Frankfurter-Zionist route which is the sure road to destruction.

Please observe carefully President Hoover’s thoughtful language, to wit: “Until they have organized and equipped strong combat divisions,” which they can’t do without German help. They continue to destroy German factories and throw employees out of work, and they continue to refuse to accept the Germans except as enemies and inferiors. Observe his statement: “With possibly one frontier on Britain,” provided of course that the British government wants protection on these terms. He is evi-

dently suspicious, as I am, that this is another Truman-Acheson trap. The British government may not want protection against communism; three members of her cabinet are avowed communists and the others socialists.

What a contrast between this great Christian pro-American republican patriot on the one hand and the pro-Zionist Eisenhower who aspires to be commander of European troops and pro-Zionist "me too" Dewey who advocates sending 6,000,000 troops to Europe. If Congress adopts Mr. Hoover's plan we will not need a substantial addition to our Army or a sales tax or a defense mobilizer, or economic stabilizer or price and wage control, or rationing or a dictator; and we will win the war and preserve our system of government and Christian religion without the sacrifice of thousands of our young men.

President Truman said in reply that this program is "isolationism pure and simple," which is anathema to the Zionists; and so chirped Dean Acheson and John Foster Dulles of the law firm of Sullivan & Cromwell, reputed to be attorneys for J. P. Morgan & Co., and other Zionists. What if it is? It was the foreign policy of President Hoover and of every other President of the United States except the Jewish Woodrow Wilson and Franklin D. Roosevelt and the pro-Zionist Harry Truman. It is true American policy and will save our country from bankruptcy and communism.

Led by Congressman Smith of Wisconsin, 118 independent republican members of Congress signed a bi-partisan "Declaration of Policy." It is a policy that every American who reveres our system of government will endorse. It is as follows:

A Declaration of Policy

"We, the undersigned, conceive that the present position of the United States is dangerous and its future tragic unless the Congress immediately undertakes a reexamination and revision of a foreign policy that in large part has been a costly failure. We submit the following as a suggested basis for our foreign policy:

1. Whatever our future military or political policy is to be, it must be determined with the full participation and approval of the Congress.

2. Make this country impregnable to attack.

3. Reduce nonessential civilian expenditures.

4. Build a strong defense system in the Western Hemisphere.

5. Establish a strong defense line in the Atlantic and Pacific. Refuse further aid of any kind to Western Europe unless persuaded that Western Europe is carrying its full share of the burden. In any case invite Britain and the British Commonwealth of Nations to participate fully in this program.

6. Conclude peace treaties with Germany, Japan, and Austria.

Those who share our views are invited to join us in a fight for survival of American constitutional government and for America itself.”*

The Truman-Acheson-Frankfurter program means communism with a dictator. This is obviously their purpose. They will select a pro-Zionist dictator whose powers will be expanded and never terminated. It may mean our military defeat. Probably that is also the purpose. But whether we are defeated or not, they will “spend” us into bankruptcy, which is the Lenin plan of communizing America.

The President proposes to go ahead with his program whether Germany co-operates or not. It is now clear that the people of Germany will not join us for they have said so by an overwhelming majority in plebiscites. It is reported that the people of the other European countries, including England, regard the Korean war as ours and wish to be neutral. The President asked Congress to increase our taxes and to provide 140 billion dollars for the war, and to draft a million more men to the armed services. It appears to be still *another trap*.

There are two methods of establishing communism in America with a dictatorship, and our traitors have adopted both of them, viz: (1) by conquest; and (2) by taxation. The 81st Congress increased the rate of taxation and enacted an excess profits tax, and the President proposes a still further tax increase. The Federal Reserve Board (of which McCabe, of a Morgan institution, is chairman) has frozen two billion dollars of bank reserves, which it is estimated will reduce the lending power of the banks twelve billion dollars. This is for the purpose of deflating values and impoverishing the people, and is a sure plan. It is the method by which all other deflations, since the creation of the iniquitous Federal Reserve System, have been brought about.

WASHINGTON'S FAREWELL ADDRESS

The father of our country, George Washington, prescribed our true foreign policy in his farewell address on September 17,

*Congressional Record, Feb. 14, 1951, page 1300.

1796. Every president, and both political parties, have religiously adhered to it up to the time of the pro-Zionist internationalist, Woodrow Wilson, Franklin D. Roosevelt and Harry S. Truman.*

Washington, in his farewell address, said:

“Against these insidious wiles of foreign influence (I conjure you to believe me, fellow-citizens), the jealousy of a free people ought to be constantly awake: since history and experience prove that foreign influence is one of the most baneful foes of republican government . . .

“Real patriots, who may resist the intrigues of the favorite, are liable to become suspected and odious; while its tools and dupes usurp the applause and confidence of the people, to surrender their interest.

“The great rules of conduct for us, in regard to foreign nations, is, in extending our commercial relations, to have with them as little political connexion as possible . . .

“Our detached and distant situation invites and enables us to pursue a difference course. If we remain one people under an efficient government, the period is not far off when we may defy material injury from external annoyance; when we may take such an attitude as will cause the neutrality we may at any time resolve upon, to be scrupulously respected; when belligerent nations, under the impossibility of making acquisitions upon us, will not lightly hazard the giving us provocation; when we may choose peace or war, as our interest, guided by justice, shall counsel.

“Why forego the advantages of so peculiar a situation? *Why quit our own to stand upon foreign ground?* Why, by interweaving our destiny with that of any part of Europe, entangle our peace and prosperity in the toils of European ambition, rivalry, interest, humor, or caprice?

“It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliances with any part of the foreign world . . .

“Even our commercial policy should hold an equal and impartial hand; neither seeking nor granting exclusive favors or preferences . . . constantly keeping in view, that it is folly in one nation to look for disinterested favors from another; that it must pay, with a portion of its independence, for whatever it may accept under that character; that by such acceptance it may place itself in the condition of having given equivalents for nominal favors, and yet of being reproached with ingratitude for not giving more.

*The fundamental difference between Washington on the one hand and Wilson, Roosevelt and Truman on the other, is that Washington was a sincere patriot who could not tell a lie, and they were all hypocrites who could not tell the truth.

There can be no greater error than to expect, or calculate upon, real favors from nation to nation. It is an illusion which experience must cure, which a just pride ought to discard."

That is isolationism. It means to stay at home and mind our own business. The internationalists claim that we need the United Nations to prevent wars, and for our protection. Senator Connally, chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, said: "We have the United Nations back of us and it will stay." That is not true; *we* are back of them. The United Nations has been the occasion of our last three wars and it will continue to involve us in war. Instead of its protecting us we are now protecting it, and it will cease to exist when we cease to support it. We should take the necessary steps to liquidate it in an orderly manner.